



De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

**A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PRESIDENTIAL
ANTI-ORGANIZED CRIME TASK FORCE (PAOCTF) AND
POLICE ANTI-CRIME AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE (PACER)
DURING THEIR FIRST YEAR
AS CRIME BUSTERS.**

An Undergraduate Thesis
Presented to the Faculty of the
College of Liberal Arts
De La Salle University – Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Bachelor of Arts in Political Science

Gatpatan, Philip Jason D.
Real, Antonio A.III

March 2006

JUN 21 2006



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE i

APPROVAL SHEET ii

ABSTRACT..... iii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... vii

PAGE

CHAPTER

1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND

Background of the Study 1

Review of Related Literature 2

 Foreign 2

 Local 4

Theoretical Framework 6

Statement of the Problem 7

Assumptions 8

Significance of the Study 8

Scope and Delimitations 9

Definitions of Terms 10



2 METHODOLOGY

Research Method 11
Data Gathering 12
Subjects of the Study 12

3 PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS, AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

Specific Problem no. 1 13
 Specific Question 1.A 13
 Specific Question 2.A 14
Specific Problem no. 2 14
 Specific Question 2.A 14
 Specific Question 2.B 15
Specific Problem no. 3 15
 Specific Question 3.A 15
 Specific Question 3.B 16

4 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary 17
Conclusion 18
Recommendations 18

REFERENCES..... x

APPENDIX A xx

APPENDIX Bxxx



ABSTRACT

NAME OF INSTITUTION: De La Salle University-Dasmariñas

ADDRESS: Bagong Bayan, Dasmariñas, Cavite 4115

TITLE: **A Comparative Analysis of Presidential Anti-Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF) and Police Anti-Crime and Emergency Response (PACER) During their first year as Crime Busters**

PROPONENTS: Philip Jason D. Gatpatan
Antonio A. Real, III

FUNDING SOURCE: Parents

COST: PhP 10,250

DATE STARTED: November 2004

DATE COMPLETED: March 2006

OBJECTIVES:

A. GENERAL

The study aimed to compare the role of the Presidential Anti-Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF) and Police Anti-Crime and Emergency Response (PACER) during Their First Year as Crime Busters.



B. SPECIFIC

1. To find out the legal basis in creating:

1.A. Presidential Anti – Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF)

1.B. Police Anti – Crime and Emergency Response (PACER)

2. To find out the purposes of:

2.A. Presidential Anti– Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF)

2.B. Police Anti – Crime and Emergency Response (PACER)

3. To find out the crimes handled by the:

3.A. Presidential Anti – Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF)

3.B. Police Anti – Crime and Emergency Response (PACER)

SCOPE AND DELIMITATION

This study focused on the comparison between Presidential Anti-Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF) and Police Anti-Crime Emergency Response (PACER) as crime busters. It included the solved and unsolved cases by PAOCTF and PACER. It gives information's regarding PAOCTF and PACER on how they helped the country reduce crime.

FINDINGS

1. The two task force agencies, the Presidential Anti-Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF) and Police Anti-Crime and Emergency



Response (PACER), were created through the office of the president to solve crimes.

2. PAOCTF and PACER are both under the Philippine National Police (PNP).
3. The researchers found out that the PAOCTF is broader in scope rather than PACER.
4. It is clear that PAOCTF is handling more crimes in the country, while PACER is more on solving Kidnapping-For-Ransom (KFR) cases.

CONCLUSION

The researchers conclude that:

1. Presidential Anti- Organized Crime Task Force (PAOCTF) was a single task force of the Philippine National Police (PNP) during the time of Estrada, while today Police Anti Crime Emergency Response (PACER) is just one of the agencies of the Philippine National Police (PNP).
2. Today people are being confused with the programs and agencies created by the present administration.
3. Redundancy of the purposes of agencies in solving crimes today is being practiced by the present administration.



4. With this redundancy, the budget of the PNP will be consumed by this agency, so it is budget consuming.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The researchers in their study of comparative analysis of the Presidential Anti – Organized Crime Task Force (P.A.O.C.T.F.) and of the Police Anti – Crime Task Force (P.A.C.E.R.) recommend that:

1. The Arroyo administration should have only one task force in solving crimes, just like the Estrada’s administration. In having just one agency, the budget can be used for other programs of the government.
2. There should be a speedy trial for the arrested suspects.
3. There should be cooperation in the branches and agencies of the government to easily solve crimes.