#### AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CLINICAL COMPETENCIES OF RADIOLOGIC TECHNOLOGY EDUCATORS IN THE PHILIPPINES: BASIS FOR PROPOSED CLINICAL ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

A Doctoral Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of the College of Education Graduate Studies De La Salle University – Dasmariñas City of Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy Major in Educational Management

#### **CHEYEN ESTORES-MOLON**

October 2012

#### ABSTRACT

Title of the Research:	An Assessment of the Clinical Competencies	
	of Radiologic Technology Educators in the	
	Philippines: Basis for Proposed Clinical	
	Enhancement Program	
Author:	CHEYEN ESTORES-MOLON	
Degree:	Doctor of Philosophy	
Major:	Educational Management	
Date Completed:	October 2012	

Utilizing the descriptive method of research, and anchored on the theoretical framework for clinical assessment by Miller (1990), this study focused on the assessment of the clinical competencies of the Radiologic Technology (RT) educators in the Philippines. Assessment was based on specific subject/s taught pertaining to diagnostic and therapeutic modalities.

Respondents were RT educators and RT interns. Data were obtained using a self-made questionnaire. Data gathered were treated using percentage frequency, mean, standard deviation, Kruskal Wallis H test, and independent's t-test.

Findings showed that majority of RT educators were holders of a bachelor's degree, not working with other institutions, with full-time status, has a teaching load of 18 units and above, and teaching more than one (1) subject. Majority attributed their clinical experience to internship training for BSRT and clinical training in hospitals. The faculty development program

focused on financial support for convention.

Self-assessment of educators revealed that majority knows the tasks on the different modalities, have been performing it, and have very high clinical competency in Nuclear Medicine, Radiologic Contrast Examination, Radiographic Technique and Film Processing/Analysis, CT Scan, Ultrasound, Radiation Therapy, Interventional Radiology, Radiographic Positioning and Radiologic Procedures, and MRI; in clinical experience and faculty development program.

RT interns revealed that RT educators have high clinical competency in Radiographic Positioning and Radiologic Procedures, Nuclear Medicine followed by Radiologic Contrast Examination and Radiographic Technique and Film Processing/Analysis. Moderate clinical competency was noted in CT Scan, Ultrasound, Interventional Radiology, Radiation Therapy, and MRI.

Comparison of the assessment of the clinical competency shows that, the p-values that obtained higher than 0.05 level of significance, which means that the RT educators' clinical competency in the nine (9) areas of modalities were the same regardless of their educational attainment and number of subject/s taught, other institutional connection except in Interventional Radiology, teaching load except for MRI and Interventional Radiology, and work status except for Radiologic Contrast Examination, Interventional Radiology, and Nuclear Medicine.

Significant differences in the clinical competencies of RT educators were noted in the following areas, namely: (a) very high clinical competency in interventional radiology was observed to those faculty members with parttime employment status, with less than 18 units of teaching load, and are connected in other institutions like hospital, (b) very high clinical competency in Nuclear Medicine and Radiologic Contrast Examination was noted from RT educators with full-time employment status, and (c) RT educators with less than 18 units of teaching load have very high clinical competency in MRI. In terms of clinical experiences, clinical competency significantly differs in: (a) work-related clinical experience in CT Scan, MRI, Radiographic Technique and Film Processing/Analysis, and Ultrasound, (b) clinical training in hospital for Radiologic Contrast Examination and MRI, and (c) internship training program for BSRT in Radiographic Positioning and Radiologic Procedure and Interventional Radiology. In terms of faculty development program, significant differences in the clinical competency of RT educator was noted in sponsored in house seminar for Radiographic Positioning and Radiologic Procedures, financial support for conventions for Interventional Radiology, and provision for post graduate education in Radiographic Positioning and Radiologic Procedures and Radiation Therapy.

The author recommends: to provide an intensive hospital training; provide post-graduate education; subsidize attendance in seminars, conferences, and other training programs; develop and administer an annual faculty survey to compile demographics and identify trends in faculty development needs; incorporate flexible scheduling; consider the clinical enhancement program (CEP); and periodical re-evaluation of the CEP.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITLE	PAGE	1
ABSTF	RACT	2
APPRO	OVAL SHEET	5
ACKN	OWLEDGMENT	6
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	10
LIST C	FTABLES	13
LIST C	FFIGURES	17
Chapte	r Siller	
1	THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
	Introduction	18
	Theoretical Framework	21
	Statement of the Problem	24
	Hypothesis of the Study	24
	Scope and Delimitation of the Study	25
	Significance of the Study	26
	Definition of Terms	27
2	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
	Conceptual Literature	31
	Research Literature	50
	Synthesis	54

3 METHODOLOGY

	Research Design	56
	Population and Sampling	57
	Respondents of the Study	59
	Research Instrument	60
	Validation of the Instrument	63
	Data Gathering Procedure	65
	Statistical Treatment of Data	66
4	PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND	
	INTERPRETATION OF DATA	
	Problem Number 1	68
	Problem Number 2	73
	Problem Number 3	115
	Problem Number 4	138
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND	
	RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Summary	162
	Conclusions	168
	Recommendations	171
REFERE	NCES	174
APPEND	DICES	180
А	Letter to the HEIs to Conduct the Study	181
В	Letter to the Respondents (RT Educators)	182
С	Letter to the Respondents (RT Interns)	183

D	Instrument	184
Е	Curriculum Vitae	222



### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		Page
1	List of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) that Participated and	
	their Population	58
2	List of Actual Number of RT Educators and RT Interns who	
	Participated in the Study	59
3	Profile of the RT Educators According to Educational Attainment	69
4	Profile of the RT Educators According to Other Institutions	69
	Connected With	
5	Profile of the RT Educators According to Work Status	70
6	Profile of the RT Educators According to Teaching Load	71
7	Profile of the RT Educators According to Number of Subject/s	
	Taught	71
8	Profile of the RT Educators According to Clinical Experience	72
9	Profile of the RT Educators According to Faculty Development	
	Program	73
10	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Radiologic	
	Contrast Examinations as Assessed by RT Educators and RT	
	Interns	78
11	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in CT Scan as	
	Assessed by RT Educators and RT Interns	83
12	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Interventional	
	Radiology as Assessed by RT Educators and RT	

	Interns	87
13	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Radiographic	
	Positioning and Radiologic Procedures as Assessed by RT	
	Educators and RT Interns	91
14	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in MRI as Assessed by	
	RT Educators and RT Interns	95
15	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Nuclear Medicine as	
	Assessed by RT Educators and RT Interns	98
16	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Radiographic	
	Technique and Film Processing/Analysis as Assessed by RT	
	Educators and RT Interns	102
17	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Radiation	
	Therapy as Assessed by RT Educators and RT Interns	106
18	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in Ultrasound as	
	Assessed by RT Educators and RT Interns	110
19	Clinical Competencies of RT Educators in General	114
20	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT	
	Educators According to Educational Attainment	116
21	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT	118
	Educators According to Other Institutions Connected With	
22	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Number of Subject/s Taught	119
23	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	

	According to Work Status	121
24	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Teaching Load	122
25	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Clinical Experience (Internship Training for BRT)	124
26	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	Clinical Experience (Work-Related Experience)	125
27	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Clinical Experience (Clinical Training in Hospital)	127
28	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Faculty Development Program (Sponsored In-	
	house Seminar)	129
29	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Faculty Development Program (Financial Support	
	for Conventions)	131
30	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Faculty Development Program (Provision for	
	Clinical Training in Hospita <i>l</i> )	132
31	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Faculty Development Program (Sponsored In-	
	house Training Workshop)	134
32	Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators	
	According to Faculty Development Program (Financial Support	

136 for External Seminars and Training Workshop) 33 Comparison of the Clinical Competencies of RT Educators According to Faculty Development Program (Post Graduate Education)

138



# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		Page
1	The Miller's Triangle of Competence Assessment	22
2	The Paradigm of the Study	23

