# FAMILY COMPETENCE AND SOCIAL COMPETENCE OF STUDENTS WITH WORKING PARENTS AND ONE WORKING PARENT IN SELECTED HIGH SCHOOLS IN DASMARIÑAS, CAVITE

A Master's Thesis
Presented to
The Faculty of the
College of Education Graduate Studies
De La Salle University – Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the requirement for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
Major in Guidance and Counseling

**HAZEL HANNAH C. ABARQUEZ** 

March 2010

#### **ABSTRACT**

Title of the Research : Family Competence and Social

Competence of Students with Working Parents and One Working Parent in Selected High Schools in Dasmariñas,

Cavite

Author : Hazel Hannah C. Abarquez

Degree : Master of Arts in Education

Major : Guidance and Counseling

Date of Completion : March, 2010

The study determines the family competence and social competence of students with both working parents and one working parents in selected high school in Cavite.

This descriptive-correlational study was conducted to find out if there is an existing relationship between family competence (family health, conflict, cohesion, leadership and expressiveness) and social competence (prosocial orientation and social initiative) of high school students from family with both working parents and only one working parent.

The main source data was the 328 third year and fourth year high school students from one public and one private high school in Dasmariñas, Cavite. Cluster sampling was utilized in selecting the sections of students that served as the participants in the study.

This study specifically aimed to provide light to the following questions:

- 1. What is the level of family competence in terms of family health, conflict, cohesion, leadership and expressiveness of the high school students coming from family with:
  - 1.1 Both working parents
  - 1.2 Only one working parent?
- 2. What is the level of social competence in terms of prosocial orientation and social initiative of the high school students coming from family with:
  - 2.1 Both working parents
  - 2.2 Only one working parent?
- 3. Is there any significant difference between the level of family competence and level social competence of the high school students coming from family with:
  - 3.1 Both working parents
  - 3.2 Only one working parent?
- 4. Is there any significant relationship between family competence on the social competence of the high school students coming from family with:
  - 4.1 Both working parents
  - 4.2 Only one working parent?

5. Based on the results of the research, what psychoeducational training program/s could be developed for parents and students?

Findings of the study revealed that the family competence of the high school students from families with both working parents (Mean = 3.55, SD = 0.56) and only one working parent (Mean = 3.51, SD = 0.52) were on the adequate level. The social competence of the students from family with both working (Mean = 74.56, SD = 14.25) and one working parent (Mean = 76.31, SD = 13.72) was on the high level. No significant difference was found on family competence and social competence of the students with both working parents and only one working parent. There was no significant relationship between family competence and social competence of high school students from family with both working parents and one working parent. Based on the findings, a psycho-educational training program composed of group counseling, group discussion, group consultation, and educational workshop was proposed.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
TITLE PAGE	1
ABSTRACT	2
APPROVAL SHEET	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS	8
LIST OF TABLE	11
LIST OF FIGURE	14
Chapter	
1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
Introduction	15
Conceptual Framework	18
Statement of the Problem	22
Hypothesis	23
Scope and Delimitation of the Study	23
Significance of the Study	24
Definition of Terms	27
2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
Conceptual Literature	30
Research Literature	64

	Synth	esis	77
3 METHODOLOGY			
	Resea	arch Method	79
	Popul	ation and Sampling	80
	Respo	ondents/Participants/Subject of the Study	82
	Resea	arch Instrument	82
	Data (	Gathering Procedure	86
	Statis	tical Treatment	87
4	PRES	ENTATION, ANALY <mark>S</mark> IS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	88
5	5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
	Summ	nary	132
	Findin	gs	134
	Concl	usions	138
	Recor	mmendations	139
REFE	RENC	ES	141
APPE	NDICE	SS .	
	Α	Letter to the Author (SFI)	148
	В	Letter to the Author (SCI)	149
	С	Approval of the Author	150
	D	Undeliverable Mail	151
	Е	Letter of Request for CNHS	152

F	Letter of Request for BMA	153
G	Letter of Request for the Students	154
Н	Letter of Request for the Parents	155
I	Information Data Form	156
J	Self – Report Family Inventory (SFI) Version II	157
K	SFI Scoring Sheet	162
L	SFI Profile Guide	163
М	Social Competence Inventory	164
N	Curriculum Vitae	170

#### LIST OF TABLE

TABL	.E	PAGE
1	Distribution of 3 <sup>rd</sup> year and 4 <sup>th</sup> year high school	81
	students' respondents' School Year 2009 – 2010	
2	Level of Family Health dimension of the students	89
	with both working parents	
3	Level of Family Health dimension of the students	91
	with one working parent	
4	Level of Conflict dimension of the students with	93
	both working parents	
5	Level of Conflict dimension of the students with	95
	one working parent	
6	Level of Cohesion dimension of the students with	96
	both working parents	
7	Level of Cohesion dimension of the students with	98
	one working parent	

8	Level of Leadership dimension of the students with	99
	both working parents	
9	Level of Leadership dimension of the students with	100
	one working parent	
10	Level of Expressiveness dimension of the students	102
	with both working parents	
11	Level of Expressiveness dimension of the students	103
	with one working parent	
12	Overall mean of family competence of the students	105
13	Level of Prosocial Orientation dimension of the	109
	students with both working parents	
14	Level of Prosocial Orientation dimension of the	111
	students with one working parent	
15	Level of Social Initiative dimension of the students	113
	with both working parents	
40	Lovel of Conicl Initiative dimension of the attractor	444
16	Level of Social Initiative dimension of the students	114

## with one working parent

17	Level of Social Competence of the students with	115
	both working parents and one working parent	
18	Significant Difference between the Level of Family	118
	Competence and the Level of Social Competence	
	of the students	
19	Significant Relationship of Family Competence	121
	and Social Competence of the students	
20	Psychoeducational Training Program for	128
	parents and students	

### LIST OF FIGURE

FIGURE		PAGE

1 Relationship of Family Competence and Social Competence 21

