STRESSORS, STRESS LEVELS AND COPING PATTERNS
OF GRADE VI CHILDREN OF BOTH SEXES AND
DIFFERENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC GROUPS

2 . . . . .

A Thesis
Presented to
The Faculty of the Graduate School
De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
Major in Guidance and Counseling

Вy

Ma. Cecilia Aurea H. Feranil June 3, 1989



STRESSORS, STRESS LEVELS AND COPING PATTERNS
OF GRADE VI CHILDREN OF BOTH SEXES AND
DIFFERENT SOCIO-ECONOMIC GROUPS

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study intended to find out the stressors, stress levels, and coping patterns of grade six pupils of both sexes and different socio-economic groups. It also sought to determine whether sex and socio-economic grouping of the respondents have any influence on stress levels and coping patterns.

The design of the study was descriptive survey using 500 grade six students (244 males and 256 females) as subjects. They were enrolled in two co-educational schools in Metro-Manila for the schoolyear 1988-89.

Three instruments were used to gather the necessary data. The Checklist of Stressful Events in Children was used to determine the different stressors affecting children. It is made up of 40 items classified into 8 major types namely:

- a. lack of resources
- b. personal threat/harm
- c. family crisis
- d. change in lifestyle
- e. school



- f. family relations
- q. environment
- h. social/peer relations

The Children Manifest Anxiety Scale (CMAS) developed by Castaneda, McCandless, and Palermo measures anxiety in 4th, 5th, and 6th grade children. The scale is made up of 42 anxiety items and 11 additional items designed to provide an index of the subjects' tendency to falsify his responses to the anxiety items.

The Coping Patterns Questionnaire determines the coping patterns of the respondents. It consisted of 16 hypothetical situations classified into 8 major groups namely:

- a. lack of resources
- b. personal threat/harm
- c. family crisis
- d. change in lifestyle
- e. school
- f. family relations
- g. environment
- h. social/peer relations

Data were treated by determining frequencies and percentages. Major types of stressors were ranked accordingly. Likewise, frequencies and percentages were used to find out the stress level of the respondents.



Chi-square was computed to determine significant difference in the stress level of the subjects according and socio-economic grouping. Furthermore. characteristics of the subject's coping patterns were tabulated according to categories. Frequencies o f responses were tallied and then converted to percentages.

Findings of the study revealed that the common major types of stressors encountered by the respondents according to their intensity are as follows:

- 1. personal threat/harm
- 2. social/peer relations
- 3. school
- 4. lack of resources
- 5. family crisis
- 6. environment
- 7. family relations
- 8. change in lifestyle

Characteristics of the subject's coping patterns were tabulated according to categories namely:

- a. immediate, active and self-reliant approach
- b. less immediate, active self-effort but with some support from others
- c. with some degree of dependence on others
- d. passive dependence on others with little or no effort on ones part.



- e. no effort to deal with the problem or simple resignation.
- f. irrelevant responses

Generally, more female respondents employed coping patterns which are immediate, active and self-reliant approaches. Significant differences in the predominant coping patterns of the respondents according to sex and socio-economic groups were tested using the statistical method of finding the significant difference between pecentages. The results obtained show that generally, significant differences were found in the predominant coping patterns of the respondents according to socio-economic groups but no significant differences were found in the predominant coping patterns of the respondents according to sex.

Moreover, the predominant coping patterns employed by CSA respondents were approaches with some degree of dependence on others and those that are less immediate but likewise effective coping strategies. However, the predominant coping patterns employed by PES respondents were immediate, active and self-reliant approaches.

Furthermore, the results obtained also show that 14% of CSA students have high stress level, 80% have average stress level and 5.2% have low stress level. With regards to the PES students, 8.8% experience high stress



level. Looking at the sex of the respondents, obtained results reveal that the girls have higher stress level compared to the boys (14.8% vs. 7.8%). Generally, respondents of both sexes have average stress levels (81.9% of boys vs. 81.2% of girls). More boys were found to have low stress level compared to girls (10.2% vs. 3.9%).

The PES pupils had significantly higher stress levels than the CSA pupils. However, girls had significantly higher stress level than boys except for the group with low stress levels where no significant difference between sexes was obtained.

I In the light of the foregoing findings, the following conclusions were derived:

- 1. Children are vulnerable to stress.
- 2. Children experience similar stressors regardless of sex and socio-economic groups.
- Sex and socio-economic groups are variables in determining stress levels.
- 4. Children in this particular study have not experienced alarming stress levels. Generally, they have average stress level.

Based on the conclusions drawn from this study, the following recommendations are given for further research.

A more comprehensive and in-depth study of a



similar nature be conducted and include a program design for stress management for children.

- 2. An analytical study should be undertaken to investigate further the stressors affecting Filipino families as a whole drawing from the idea that children's behavior is simply an outcome of family environment.
- 3. The relation between various social contexts and the coping behavior of children needs to be examined in greater detail. Some variables which could be considered are the following:
  - a. Parental modeling of coping vs. coping behavior of children.
  - b. Peer socialization practices vs. coping behavior of children.
  - c. Teacher values and attitudes vs. coping behavior of children.
- 4. Finally, prospective longitudinal studies are needed for clarifying the ways in which coping resources, styles and behaviors change or remain constant with development.



TABLE OF CONTENTS				
Title	e Page	Page i		
Acknowledgement		ii		
Thes	is Abstract	V		
CHAPTER				
I.	INTRODUCTION	1		
	Theoretical Framework	4		
•	Statement of the Problem	15		
•	Hypotheses	16		
	Significance of the Study	16		
**	Scope and Limitations of the Study	17		
7,	Definition of Terms	19		
II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND STUDIES	21		
	Stressors	21.		
	Stress Levels	31		
-	Coping Patterns	39		
III.	METHOD	46		
•	Research Design	46		
	Subjects	46		
÷	Instruments	47		
	Data Gathering Procedure	52		
	Treatment of Data	52		
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	54		
	Results	54.		
)	Discussion	74		



CHAPTER		age
٧.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
	Summary of the Study	104
	Conclusions	111
	Recommendations	112
	APPENDIX A	115
. *	APPENDIX B	120
-	APPENDIX C	125
	APPENDIX D	130
	APPENDIX E	
	APPENDIX F	132
	APPENDIX G	134
1 .	APPENDIX H	135
	APPENDIX I	140
	APPENDIX J	142
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	144
	LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURE	
Table	e · · · · · · P	age
1.	Ranking of Types of Stressors of CSA and PES Pupils According to Frequency	54
11.	Frequency, Percentage and Chi-Square Value in the Stress Levels of the Respondents According to Sex and Socio Economic Groups	55



Table		Page
III-A	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Lack of Resources (Situation 1: Lack of paper, books, pens, and other school materials) As Used By Respondents	57
III-B	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Lack of Resources (Situation 2: Lack of allowance/money) As Used By Respondents	58
III-C	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Personal/Threat. Harm (Situation 3: Being teased by other children) As Used By Respondents	59
III-D	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Personal Threat/Harm (Situation 4: Personal injury or illness) As Used By Respondents)	60
III-E	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Family Crisis (Situation 6: Change in family member's health) As Used By Respondents	
III-F	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Family Crisis (Situation 6: Death of a close family member) As Used By Respondents	62
III-G	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Change in Lifestyle (Situation 7: Family business readjustment) As Used By Respondents	63
111-Н	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to School (Situation 8: Change in living condition) As Used by Respondents	64
111-1	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to School (Situation 9: Problem with teachers) As Used By Respondents.	65
III-J	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to School (Situation 10: Change in class performance) As Used By Respondents	66



Table		Page
III-K	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Family Relations (Situation 11: Quarrel among family members) As Used By Respondents	67
III-L	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Family Relations (Situation 12: Addition to family) As Used By Respondents	68
III-M	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Environment (Situation 13: Noisy surrounding) As Used By Respondents	69
III-N	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Environment(Situation 14: Pollution/Overcrowding) As Used By Respondents	70
III-0	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Social/Peer Relations (Situation 15: Addition to Barkada) As Used by Respondents	71
III-P	Frequency, Percentage and Critical Ratio of Coping Patterns to Social/Peer Relations (Situation 16: Misunderstanding with friends) As Used By Respondents	72
Figure	Smarras . Since 1977 . Dasmarii.	
İ	Paradigm for the Theoretical Framework	13

