Towards the Development of an Instrument
to Measure the Maturity Levels of the
Junior College Students of Macau

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School of
Education, Arts and Sciences
De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Sciences in Guidance and Counseling

by
Leong, Hang-I (Lydia)
June, 1989



Abstract

This study aimed to develop an instructment to assess the maturity level of Junior College students in The study consisted of three phases: the first phase was devoted to developing the content domain of the said instrument, 35 college students from Hong Kong and Macau presently enrolled in different colleges or universities in Manila, were asked to response to an openended questionnaire, for the purpose of collecting opinions and perceptions toward maturity. These statements were then selected according to criteria suggested by Allport's (1961) theory on maturity. This conceptualization of maturity provides the content domain of the instrument. were constructed as the first draft of the Theses items were then subjected to scrutiny Inventory. by five experts who sorted them into three categories: "mature", "immature" and "not relevant". The concurrence of agreement of at least 70% of the raters was used as a basis for selecting the items. After the judgments of experts, 59 items were left for the preliminary form of the Inventory.

The second phase was aimed to conduct the preliminary validity and reliability studies of the



Inventory. Using the Likert (1932) Method of Summated Ratings, 228 male and female Junior College students from the University of East Asia in Macau were comprised as the sample for determination the preliminary validity and reliability of the instrument. The gathered data were then subjected to statistical analysis through computalization.

Factor analysis was used to determine the Construct Validity of the test. The identified main factors of the test are: Maturity/immaturity based on involvement of oneself to others (Factor 1); Maturity/immaturity founded on understanding about self and, perception of reality (Factor 2); Maturity/immaturity based on perceived motivation for future (Factor 4); Maturity/immaturity based on feelings of security (Factor 5).

When tested for Internal Consistency, the 24 items that loaded highly on Factor 1 attained a reliability coefficient of .94; the 18 items that loaded highly on Factor 2 attained a reliability coefficient of .95; the 10 items that loaded highly on Factor 4 attained a reliability coefficient of .88 and, the seven items that loaded highly on Factor 5 had a reliability coefficient of .85.

Using r = .50 as the cut-off coefficient, four



items were discarded through the one-way repeated measure on Correlation Coefficient.

The third phase was devoted to the refining of the instrument with instructions for administration and scoring and, construction of norms. Based on the test results of the 228 respondents who had taken the test, norms in terms of percentile ranks were constructed.

Based on the results, this study was concluded to have psychometrically viability with the exhibition of high reliability and substantial construct validity. In the light of the findings and conclusions of the study, the developed Inventory is recommended as a tool to assess the maturity level of Junior College students begining and the end of the program, for the purpose of investigating the effectiveness of the Junior College. Since the Inventory can assess the maturity level of the students, it is recommended be part of the test battery of the Guidance and Counseling service of the University Further studies to strengthen the of East Asia. Inventory were also psychometric qualities of the recommended to this study.



VIII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page						
ABSTRACT LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES									
	•	•	ΧI						
Chapter									
I.	THE								
	LITE	1							
	1.1 1.2	Introduction	1						
		and studies	4						
		1.2.1 Maturity as a phenomenon .	5						
		1.2.2 Test construction	16						
		1.2.3 Synthesis	28						
		Theoretical Framework	30						
		Statement of the Problem	33						
	1.5	Hypothesis	34						
	1.6	Scope and Limitations	34						
	1.7	Significance of the Study	35						
	1.8	Definition of Terms	35						
II.	METHO	OD	38						
	2.1	Research Method	38						
	2.2	Subjects	39						
	2.3	Instrumentation	39						
	2.4	Procedure	40						
		Statistical Treatment	41						
III.	RESUI	43							
	3.1	Results	43						
		3.1.1 Phase I Instrument Development	43						
		3.1.2 Phase II							
		Instrument Validation 3.1.3 Phase III	52						
		Construction of Norms	62						
	3.2	Discussion	66						



	DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY								
	IV. SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	71							
	4.1 Summary	71 75 75							
, .	REFERENCES	78							
	APPENDICES	81							
	 A. Perceptions and Opinions toward Maturity and Immaturity Questionnaire. B. Letter to the Junior College Students 	81 82							
	C. Computer Print-outs	83							
	第 第 第 第 1								



X

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page										
1.	Table of Specifications	49										
2.	Actual Frequency and Percentage Distribution											
3.	Differences Between Table 1 and Table 2	51										
4.	Distribution of Items in the Preliminary Form	53										
5.	Frequency Distribution of Items by Factor Loading	57										
6.	Summary of the Results of Item Analysis a. on Factor 1	60 60 61 61										
7.	Table of Norms	63										



XI

LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e											Page	
1.	Schematic Framework .										•	37	
2	Master Data	S	hee	at.	_	_				_	_	55	



