

RESTORATION OF DEATH PENALTY AS PERCEIVED
BY SELECTED FACULTY MEMBERS OF
DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY -
AGUINALDO

An Undergraduate Thesis

Presented to

The Faculty of the College of Arts and Sciences
De La Salle University - Aginaldo,

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Bachelor of Arts in Political Science

By

Bernadette G. Gualberto

Elen O. Villanueva

ABSTRACT

Title: RESTORATION OF DEATH PENALTY AS
PERCEIVED BY SELECTED FACULTY MEMBERS
OF DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY – AGUINALDO

Total number of pages:

Authors: Bernadette G. Gualberto

Elen O. Villanueva

Date of Study: January 1993

Type of Document: Undergraduate Thesis

Name and Address of Institution:

De La Salle University – Aguineldo

Bagong Bayan, Dasmariñas, Cavite

Summary:

The authors made a study on the restoration of death penalty as a capital punishment. This study aimed to enlighten the readers about its concept, its scope and coverage, its definition, the reasons for its implementation, its effects on society, the crimes covered by the penalty and the perceptions of selected faculty members from the College of Criminology,

Departments of Social Sciences and Theology, regarding its reimplementatation.

Legal documents, law books, encyclopedias, gazettes, and questionnaires were used as instruments in making this study.

The findings revealed that the selected faculty members regarded the following: (1) Death penalty is the taking of the life of an offender as punishment for a heinous crime he committed; (2) Rape with murder, piracy, parricide, kidnap with rape and/or murder, arson, rebellion, rape with torture, and sedition are the crimes subjected to death penalty; (3) Death penalty serves as a deterrence to the commission of heinous crimes; (4) Death penalty maintains self-defense in favor of the government and the people against any social order that may occur; (5) Eighty percent of the respondents favor the reimposition of death penalty against 20% of those who oppose it.

Based upon the results of the study,

the following recommendations are made:

(1) School mentors must be enlightened regarding their roles as educators to the confused individuals of today.

Eventually, school dropouts and juvenile delinquents will be minimized. Crime rate will drop; (2) The Department of

Education, Culture and Sports must make a stand on the issue about death penalty;

(3) The government must maintain peace and progress in our country by giving importance to the growing problem of our educators to focus on value education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
Introduction	1
Statement of the Problem	4
Assumptions	5
Significance of the Study	6
Objectives of the Study	8
Scope and Delimitation	9
Definition of Terms	9
II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
Local	11
Foreign	14
III METHODOLOGY	17
Research Method	17
Participants of the Study	18
Instrumentation	18
Statistical Treatment of Data	19
IV PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
I THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
Introduction	1
Statement of the Problem	4
Assumptions	5
Significance of the Study	6
Objectives of the Study	8
Scope and Delimitation	9
Definition of Terms	9
II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
Local	11
Foreign	14
III METHODOLOGY	37
Research Method	17
Participants of the Study	18
Instrumentation	18
Statistical Treatment of Data	19
IV PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	

The Definition of Death Penalty	200
The Crimes Subject to Death Penalty	21
The Reasons Why Death Penalty Should be Reimposed	22
The Reasons Why Death Penalty Should not be Reimposed	24
Effects of the Reimposition of Death Penalty	24
The Stand of the Faculty Members Regarding the Reimposition of Death Penalty	26
FIGURES	
1 Profile of Respondents	26
2 Bar Graph Showing the Summary of Responses	27
3 Computation of Data	28
4 Response to Particular Questions	30
5 Stand Regarding Death Penalty	31
V SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATION	

Summary	34
Findings	35
Conclusion	37
Recommendation	37
REFERENCES	38
APPENDICES	
a. Bargraph Showing the Response to Question A	42
b. Bargraph Showing the Responses to Question B	43
c. Bargraph Showing the Response to Question C	44
d. Bargraph Showing the Response to Question F	45
e. Questionnaires Used	46
f. House Bill No. 295	52