A Knowledge Representation Selection Criteria

THESIS
Presented to

Graduate School College of Computer Studies

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Thesis Writing

by

Neena Wadhwa
MST-CS Graduate Student
College of Computer Studies
De La Salle University

December 27, 1989



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TOPICS PAGE	NO
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION TO EXPERT SYSTEMS	
1.1 Expert Systems	1-1
1.2 Expert System Architecture	1-2
1.3 Structure OF An Expert System Development Tool	1-5
1.4 Desired features of an Expert System	1-6
1.5 Roll of Knowledge Representation in Expert Systems	1-7
1.6 Nature of Knowledge Representation Schemes	1-9
1.7 Classification of Problem Domains	1-10
1.8 Thesis Organization	1-13
CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	2-1
CHAPTER 3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3-1
3.1 Statement of the problem	3-2
3.2 Statement of objectives	3-3
3.2.1 General Objectives	3-3
3.2.2 Specific Objectives	3-3
3.3 Significance of the study	3-3
3.4 Scope and Limitation	3-5



3.5 Methodology	3-5
CHAPTER 4. TAXONOMY OF KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION SCHEMES	4-1
4.1 Production Rules KR scheme	4-1
4.2 Frames Theory	4-4
4.3 Logic WFORMATO	4-8
CHAPTER 5. THE CRITERIA SET	5-1
	•
CHAPTER 6. CRITERIA SET VALIDATION	6-1
6.1 Group Involved In Expert System Development And Usage	6-1
6.2 Incorporation Of Involved Groups Requirements Into a Designed Criteria Set	6-10
6.3 Requirements Incorporation Chart	6-12
6.4 Requirements Incorporation Table	6-13
CHAPTER 7. ANALYSIS OF KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATION SCHEMES	7-1
7.1 Analysis of Existing Knowledge Representation Schemes Based on th Designed Criteria Set	7-2
7.1.1 Production Rules	7-2
7.1.2 Logic KR Scheme	7-20
7.1.3 Frame KR Scheme	7-20



7.2 Evaluation Table	7-30
CHAPTER 8. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION	8-1
APPENDIX:	
APPENDIX-A: Fire Expert Expert System	A-1
APPENDIX-B: Knowledge Base	B-1
Appendix-C: Fig.1: Expert System Architecture	C-1
Fig.2: Components ofInference	C-2
GLOSSARY	G-1
BIBLIOGRAPHY	R-1



ABSTRACT

Work in Al concerns the nature and functioning of knowledge wherein flexible representations are required in order to understand and generate expert behavior. Growing interest in generating expert behavior through the use of Expert System/Decision Support System Technology raises the issue on the importance of knowledge representation (KR) for this technology as several existing knowledge representation schemes affect and effect inference in significantly different ways. As a result, Knowledge Engineer (KE) is, often, failed to come up with a correct representational framework to formulate knowledge in a way the system is expected to behave. Furthermore, the unavailability of a relevant criteria for a good knowledge representation scheme itself has been a basic and big barrier in their work. Thus, this study focuses on: 1) Properties of consistent knowledge representation framework and designs a criteria set; 2) Analysis and Evaluation of existing KR schemes on the basis of designed criteria set; thereby, giving aid to KE to select ideal KR scheme based on a relevant criteria.

This study can be extended with the implementation of the KR schemes and can be evaluated according to the designed criteria set to obtain performance measurements for different problem domains.

Index Terms: Knowledge Representation, Expert Systems, Rule Based Expert System, Semantic Nets, Logic, Artificial Intelligence.

