


**RELATIONSHIP OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND
FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF COMPANIES AT FIRST
CAVITE INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, CITY OF DASMARINAS**



A Thesis
Presented to the Faculty of the
Graduate Studies in Business
De La Salle University-Dasmariñas
City of Dasmariñas

In Partial Fulfilment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Masters in Business Administration

MADELAINE B. RAMOS

September 2011

ABSTRACT

RAMOS, MADELAINE B, Relationship of Quality Management System and Financial Performance of Companies at First Cavite Industrial Estate, City of Dasmariñas. Master in Business Administration, De La Salle University–Dasmariñas, Cavite, September 2011. Adviser: Dr. Vivian N. Pascual

This study aimed to determine the relationship of Quality Management System (QMS) on Financial Performance of Companies at First Cavite Industrial Estate. This study would like to prove that quality management system has significant relationship to the financial performance of the companies.

The study used self-administered questionnaire in determining the relationship of QMS on financial performance of the companies. Seventy respondents were chosen to be part of the survey. Sixty six percent replied to the survey, majority from the Japanese manufacturing company. The first part of the results discussed the profile of the company that participated in the study. This includes the nationality, ISO status, number of years certified, leadership style, and company size. The profile of the respondents (managers) was also discussed in terms of the management responsibility, product realization, resource management, and measurement analysis and improvement. The financial status of the company was also presented, showing the profitability, liquidity and stability ratios.

After presenting all the variables and data, canonical correlation analysis was used in determining the relationship of quality management system on the financial performance of the company. Furthermore, canonical correlation was able

to determine the variables that can greatly contribute both for the independent and dependent set of variables.

Measurement analysis and improvement and resource management showed positive high correlation. Moreover, management responsibility has a positive significance but not as high like the first two mentioned. Dependent variables contributors are fixed asset to total owners' equity. Return on asset and return on equity displayed negative but high correlation to the dependent variable.

The results of this study contrasts to the previous study presented in this paper wherein in most studies QMS has positive relationship with return on asset and return on equity, while in this study the relationship is inverse. As part of the result of the paper, it shows that QMS has positive relationship to fixed asset to total owners' equity under stability ratio.

Furthermore, there is only 23.6 percent correlation between the quality management system and financial performance of the company. The remaining variance can be associated with other factors. Future researches on this topic can try to look at the remaining variance, in order to determine the factors that could affect the financial performance of the company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| TITLE PAGE | i |
| DEED OF DECLARATION | ii |
| APPROVAL SHEET | iii |
| BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vi |
| ABSTRACT | viii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | x |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvi |
| LIST OF APPENDIXES | xvii |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Background of the Study | 2 |
| Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| Objectives of the Study | 5 |
| Hypothesis of the Study | 5 |
| Significance of the Study | 7 |
| Scope and Limitation of the Study | 8 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Definition of Terms | 9 |
| REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 16 |
| The Foundations of Modern Quality Management | 16 |
| ISO Family | 17 |
| ISO and Financial Performance of the Company | 18 |
| ISO on different Industries | 23 |
| QMS on Different Industries | 25 |
| Quality Management Principle | 28 |
| FRAMEWORKS OF THE STUDY | 34 |
| Theoretical Framework | 34 |
| Operational Framework | 37 |
| Quality Management System | 40 |
| ISO Status | 43 |
| Leadership Style | 44 |
| Financial Performance | 45 |
| METHODOLOGY | 46 |
| Research Design | 46 |
| Time and Place of Study | 46 |
| Sources of Data | 47 |
| Collection of Data | 47 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Methods of Analysis | 49 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 57 |
| Company Profile | 57 |
| Profile of the Respondents | 61 |
| Respondents by Management Responsibility | 62 |
| Respondents by Product Realization | 64 |
| Respondents by Resource Management | 65 |
| Financial Performance | 66 |
| Respondents by Measurement, Analysis and Improvement | 67 |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 95 |
| Summary | 95 |
| Conclusion | 97 |
| Recommendation | 98 |
| REFERENCES | 100 |
| APPENDIXES | 103 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1 | Likert Scale Rating for the Quality Management System | 50 |
| 2 | Likert Scale Rating for Leadership (adopted from Southern Cross Learning Institute | 50 |
| 3 | Scoring Interpretation for Leadership Style | 51 |
| 4 | Canonical Correlation of Financial Performance with Quality Management System | 53 |
| 5 | Summary of Financial Performance Ratio for (Dependent Variable) | 56 |
| 6 | Variable Descriptions and Summary Statistics for the Company and Respondents Profile, Dasmariñas 2010 | 58 |
| 7 | Mean Score of Respondents by Management Responsibility, Dasmariñas, 2010 | 62 |
| 8 | Mean Score of Respondents by Product Realization, Dasmariñas, 2010 | 64 |
| 9 | Mean Score of Respondents by Resource Management, Dasmariñas, 2010 | 65 |
| 10 | Mean Score of Respondents by Measurement Analysis and Improvement, Dasmariñas, 2010 | 67 |
| 11 | Variable descriptions and summary statistics for Financial Ratios, Dasmariñas, 2010 | 68 |


LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 12 | Canonical Correlations of QMS with Financial Performance | 71 |
| 13 | Calculation of Redundancy Indices for the First Canonical Function of QMS and Financial Performance | 73 |
| 14 | Calculation of Redundancy Indices for the Second Canonical Function of QMS and Financial Performance | 74 |
| 15 | Calculation of Redundancy Indices for the Third Canonical Function of QMS and Financial Performance | 75 |
| 16 | Calculation of Redundancy Indices for the Fourth Canonical Function of QMS and Financial Performance | 76 |
| 17 | Redundancy Analysis of QMS and Financial Performance Variates for all the Canonical Functions | 78 |
| 18 | Canonical Weights for Quality Management System and Financial Performance (for the first canonical function) | 81 |
| 19 | Canonical Weights for Quality Management System and Financial Performance (for the remaining three canonical functions) | 82 |
| 20 | Canonical Loading for QMS (for the first canonical function) | 84 |

| | | |
|----|--|----|
| 21 | Canonical Loading for QMS (for the remaining three canonical functions) | 86 |
| 22 | Cross Loading for Financial Performance by Quality Management System (for the first canonical function) | 87 |
| 23 | Cross Loading for Financial Performance by Quality Management System (for the remaining three canonical functions) | 89 |
| 24 | Sensitivity Analysis of the Canonical Correlation Results after Removal of an Independent Variable (first canonical function) | 92 |
| 25 | Sensitivity Analysis of the Canonical Correlation Results after Removal of an Independent Variable (second canonical function) | 93 |
| 26 | Sensitivity Analysis of the Canonical Correlation Results after Removal of an Independent Variable (third canonical function) | 94 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Model of a process-based Quality Management System | 35 |
| 2 | Framework showing the relationship of Quality Management System to the financial performance indicators..... | 39 |



LIST OF APPENDIXES

| Appendix | | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| 1 | Letter of Approval, Southern Cross International Learning Institute | 103 |
| 2 | Letter of Request for Southern Cross International Learning Institute | 104 |
| 3 | List of FCIE Locators (as of December 2010) | 105 |
| 4 | Request Letter to FCIE | 108 |
| 5 | Endorsement Letter from FCIE | 109 |
| 6 | Survey Questionnaire | 110 |