

**LABORATORY-SCALE COMBUSTION  
OF COAL-OIL MIXTURES**

---

**A Thesis  
Presented to  
the Faculty of Graduate School  
De La Salle University**

---

**In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering**

**by**

**GILEO CAPAGNGAN SULLA  
June 1994**

# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## ABSTRACT

A study was made of the combustion of the different blends of Coal-Oil mixtures (COM) (15-35% coal, 65-85% bunker oil) in a specially designed & fabricated laboratory-scale combustion facilities.

A finely ground Bislig coal (90 % < 150-200 mesh, 10 % < 100 mesh) was prepared and mixed with bunker oil for the study. The dispersion of pulverized coal in oil called slurry was found to be relatively stable and could be pumped as liquid and burned nicely in a manner similar to pure bunker oil in the fabricated equipments.

The preliminary set of information obtained from the study and of the combustion performance of the different blends of the mixture are reported. Combustion performance of this developed fuel is mainly judged by the amount of combustible matter that burns and reporting this as combustion efficiency. Highest combustion efficiency obtained was 93 % for 15 % COM, 92 % for 25 % COM, and 90 % for 35 % COM, with both the secondary air and the fuel being pre-heated.



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL SHEET	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
	vi

### Chapter

1. Introduction	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	4
1.2 Scope, Limitation and Delimitation of the Study	5
1.3 Significance of the Study	7
2. Review of Related Literature and Studies	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Combustion Theory and Design Considerations	10
2.2.1 General Ideas on Combustion Process	10
2.2.2 Combustion Flame	11
2.2.3 Adiabatic Flame Temperature	13
2.2.4 Combustion Reactions	14
2.2.5 Theoretical Air/Fuel Ratio	18
2.2.6 Actual Weight of Combustion Air	19
2.2.7 Actual Combustion Process	20
2.3 Burner/Atomizer Design Considerations	21
2.3.1 Oil Burners	23
2.3.2 Burner/Atomizer for Coal Slurries	29
2.3.3 Comparative Atomization Test of CWF Atomizers	38
2.4 Combustion Chamber Design Considerations	39
2.4.1 Furnace Volume	40
2.5 Effect of Local Condition on Combustion	41
2.5.1 Temperature or Pre-heat	41
2.5.2 Hot Surfaces	41
2.6 Brief History of COM	42



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

2.7	COM Technology	45
2.8	Combustion Experiences on Coal Slurry Fuels	48
2.8.1	Foreign Experiences	48
2.8.2	Local Experience	52
3.	Research Design and Procedures	55
3.1	Design Consideration and Fabrication of Experimental Equipments	56
3.1.1	Combustion Furnace	56
3.1.2	Burner/Atomizer	60
3.1.3	Air Heater	61
3.1.4	Secondary Air Blower	61
3.1.5	Secondary Air Diffuser/Swirler	62
3.1.6	Secondary Air Ducting System	63
3.1.7	Fuel Handling System	65
3.2	Fuel Preparation	67
3.2.1	Coal Beneficiation	67
3.2.2	COM Preparation	69
3.3	Analysis of the Parent Coal	69
3.4	Properties of Bunker oil	70
3.5	Viscosity and Ash Determination of Prepared COM	71
3.6	Pre-Combustion Testing Procedures	72
3.6.1	Fuel Handling System Testing	72
3.6.2	Burner Testing	73
3.7	Combustion Testing Procedures	74
3.8	Combustion Efficiency Determination	78
4.	Data and Results	81
4.1	Properties of Beneficiated and Run-of-mine (ROM) Bislig Coal	81
4.2	Viscosity and Ash Content of Prepared COM	82
4.3	Combustion Test Results	85
4.3.1	Flow Characteristics of the Coal-Oil mixtures	85
4.3.2	Flame Characteristics of the Burned COM	86
4.3.3	Air/Fuel Ratio	87
4.3.4	Ash Content Determination of the Bottom Residue	89
4.3.5	Combustion Efficiency Determination	89



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

5. Discussion of Results	95
5.1 Combustion Test Results	95
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	100
6.1 Conclusions	100
6.2 Recommendations for Future Work	101
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>APPENDIXES</b>	
A. Experimental Procedures	105
A.1 Procedure for % ADL Determination	105
A.2 Procedure for % Residual Moisture Determination	106
A.3 Procedure for % VCM Determination	107
A.4 Procedure for % Ash Determination	108
A.5 Procedure for % Sulfur Determination	109
A.6 Procedure for Viscosity Determination	110
A.7 Procedure for Orsat Analysis	111
A.8 Procedure for the Preparation of Absorbing Agents for Orsat Analysis	113
B. Sample Computations - Summary Tables	
B.1 Proximate Analysis of Beneficiated and ROM Bislig Coal	114
B.2 Viscosity Measurements of Prepared Coal-Oil Mixtures	117
B.3 Ash Determination of Prepared COM	118
B.4 Ash Determination of the Bottom Residue of Burned COM	119
B.5 Combustion Efficiency Determination	124
B.6 Air/Fuel Ratio Determination	128
B.7 Combustion Data and Result of Firings of 15/85 (Bislig) Coal-Oil Mixture	131
B.8 Combustion Data and Result of Firings of 25/75 (Bislig) Coal-Oil Mixture	132
B.9 Combustion Data and Result of Firings of 35/65 (Bislig) Coal-Oil Mixture	133



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## C. Drawings of Fabricated Combustion Facilities

C.1	Burner/Atomizer Used in the Study	135
C.2	Combustion Furnace	136
C.3	Air Heater	137
C.4	Secondary Air Diffuser/Swirler	138



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1.1 Philippine Coal Reserve and Quality	2
1.2 Estimates of Energy Use in Boilers, Kilns and Furnaces by Industrial Sector in the Philippines.	8
1.3 Estimated Number of Boilers in the Philippines By Size Range	8
1.4 Projected Oil Balance	9
2.1 Corresponding Temperatures for Observed Flame Colors	12
2.2 Density and Ash Content of Selected COM/CHOM	44
2.3 Calorific Value and Flash point of COM	44
3.1 Product Quality Certificates of IFO (Bunker oil)	70
3.2 Typical Analysis of Bunker C fuel oil	71
4.1 Proximate Analysis and Calorific Value of Beneficiated and Run of Mine (ROM) Bislig Coal	81
4.2 Viscosity (SSU) Values of Prepared COM	82
4.3 Ash Content of Prepared COM	84
4.4 Summary of Results of Firings of (Bislig) 15/85 coal/oil mixture	92
4.5 Summary of Results of Firings of (Bislig) 25/75 coal/oil mixture	93
4.6 Summary of Results of Firings of (Bislig) 35/65 coal/oil mixture	94
B.2.1 Viscosity Measurements of 15% Bislig Coal and 85 % Bunker oil	117
B.2.2 Viscosity Measurements of 25% Bislig coal and 75 % Bunker oil	117
B.2.3 Viscosity Measurements of 35% Bislig coal and 65 % Bunker oil	117
B.4.1 Summary of Ash Content of Burned 15% COM	120
B.4.2 Summary of Ash Content of Burnrd 25% COM	122
B.4.3 Summary of Ash Content of Burned 35% COM	123
B.5.1 Combustion Data and Results of Firings of Bislig 15/85 % COM	131
B.5.2 Combustion Data and Results of Firings of Bislig 25/75 % COM	132
B.5.3 Combustion Data and Results of Firings of Bislig 35/65 % COM	133



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
2.1	Horizontal rotary cup burner	24
2.2	Vertical rotary cup burner	24
2.3	Vertical rotary cup burner mounted on boiler	25
2.4	Low-pressure air atomizing burner	25
2.5	High-pressure air or steam atomizing burner	26
2.6	High-pressure air or steam atomizing burner	26
2.7	Steam-atomizing burner for heavy oils	27
2.8	Steam-atomizing sludge burner	27
2.9	Burner Designs for Coal-Water Fuels	30
2.10	COEN - Distributed -Air-Flow (DAF) CWF burner	31
2.11	Schematic of Parker-Hannifin (VIP) Atomizer	32
2.12	Schematic of Opposed-Jet Atomization Concept	33
2.13	Prototype -ARC Opposed-Jet Atomizer Design	35
2.14	Prototype -ARC opposed-jet atomizer design for PETC FEF	36
2.15	PETC Y-Jet atomizer design.	36
2.16	Parker-Hannifin Atomizer Design	37
2.17	PETC Internal-mix Atomizer Design	37
3.1	Schematic Lay-out of Combustion Facilities	57
3.2	Photograph of Fabricated Furnace	59
3.3	Burner/Atomizer Used in the Study	60
3.4	Schematic Lay-out of the Swirler	62
3.5	Photograph of Heater, Fan Blower with the Fabricated Ducting Systems	64
3.6	Photograph of the Fuel Handling System	66
3.7	Photograph of the Burner at Pre-Combustion Test	75
4.1	Plot of Viscosity versus Temperature of 15 %, 25 % & 35 % COM	83
4.2	Illustrations of the observed flame shape of the three blends of (Bislig) COM	88
5.1	Plot of Combustion Efficiency versus Air/Fuel Ratio of the three blends of (Bislig) COM	96

