# PERCEPTIONS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 5-S PRACTICE ON SELECTED JAPANESE MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN FCIE, DASMARIÑAS, CAVITE: AN ASSESSMENT

A Master's Thesis Presented to the

Faculty of the Graduate School of Business

De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master in Business Administration

CORAZON AMBATA SAQUILAYAN

January 31, 2008



### © 2008

Corazon Ambata Saquilayan

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

#### THESIS ABSTRACT

Title: Perceptions on the Implementation of 5-S Practice on Selected

Japanese Manufacturing Companies in FCIE, Dasmariñas, Cavite:

An Assessment

Researcher: Corazon Ambata Saquilayan

Adviser: Zeny J. Lontoc, Ph.D.

No. of Pages: 172

Type of Document: Masteral Thesis

Year Completed: 2008

#### **Abstract**

This research was conducted to determine the perceptions on the implementation of 5-S practices (5-S as seiri, seiton, seiso, seiketsu and shitsuke with its meaning structurize, systematize, sanitize, standardize and self discipline respectively) on selected Japanese manufacturing companies in FCIE, Dasmariñas, Cavite. The respondents were the 5-S champions and implementing 5-S practices from July 2000 to July 2007. Forty-five questionnaires were distributed and accomplished. The study focused on three (3) manufacturing companies: electronics, automotive and metal company.

The problems stated in chapter I sought to find out the perceptions of the respondents on 5-S practice implementation in terms of success factor, effectiveness, quality programs, analysis tools and waste eliminations in their respective manufacturing companies as well as the problems encountered in the implementation of the 5-S practices and the recommendation that can be addressed to avoid reoccurrence.

This study utilized both descriptive and correlational analyses in the research design. Surveys and observations were utilized in primary data gathering. One set of survey questionnaire was used in gathering first hand information. Secondary data were gathered from books, from internet, periodicals, journals and theses.

The study concludes that 5-S practice is an important tool for quality improvement programs of the companies.

These significantly contribute to increase in productivity, improved product quality, ensures on-time delivery, reduced manufacturing costs and a safety working condition.

The following are recommended: (1) the 5-S implementation requires commitment from both the top management and everyone in the organization; (2) management should give proper orientation and awareness of 5-S practice in the company so as to lessen the culture shock and resistance to change; (3) continuous

implementation of activities, giving recognition to the area where 5-S really excels; (4) Create and establish a manual for 5-S practice. (Use daily check sheet on a daily basis to be maintained for the projects progression); (5) implementation of 5-S practice should be company wide (Feedbacks and recommendation coming from employees might help to achieve the goal/ objective); and since (6) maintenance is the difficult part of 5-S practice, level of 5-S should be improved. Senior management should do a periodic review of the status of 5-S practice.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEED OF	DECLARATION	i
APPROV	AL SHEET	ii
	VLEDGMENT	
ABSTRAG	CT	iv
TABLE O	F CONTENTS	v
LIST OF I	FIGURES	vi
LIST OF	TABLES	vii
CHAPTE	Re g	Page
1. IN	TRODUCTION	1
	Background of the Study	1
	Statement of the Problem	5
	Objectives of Study	7
	Hypothesis of the Study	8
	Significance of the Study	8
	Scope and Limitations of the Study	9
	Definition of Terms	10
2. RF	EVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	13

3.	FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY	31
	Theoretical Framework	31
	Conceptual Framework	32
	Operational Framework	34
4.	METHODOLOGY	38
	Research Design	38
	Time and Place of the Study	38
	Sources of Data	39
	Collection of Data	39
	Methods of Analysis.	43
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	48
6.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
BIB	ILIOGRAPHY	117

## **APPENDICES**

A. Cover Letter	120
B. Respondent's Profile	121
C. Survey Questionnaire	122
D. List of Respondents	125
E. Tabulation of Survey Results	126
F. Summary of Degree Factors	129
G. Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient	153
H. The 5-S Auditing Sheet	157
I. The 5-S Implementation Plan	161
J. The 5-S Model	162
K. The 5-S Meaning.	163
L. The Different 5-S Meaning	
M. Deming's PDCA Cycle	165
N. 5-S Implementing and Organization-Wide Effort	166
O. FCIE Subdivision Plan.	169
P. Cavite Road network	170
Q. Certification.	171
R. Certification of Editing.	172

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re No. Title Pag	e
1	Conceptual Framework	
2	Operational Framework	37
3	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Educational Attainment	51
4	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Educational Attainment	51
5	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Educational Attainment	51
6	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Years in Service as an Employee	54
7	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Years in Service as an Employee	54
8	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Years in Service as an Employee	54
9	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Length of Experience by 5-S Practice	57
10	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Length of Experience by 5-S Practice	57
11	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Length of Experience by 5-S Practice	57
12	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Number of Years the company's Existence in FCIE, Dasmariñas	.60

T' NI	m: d	T)
Figure No.	Title	Page

13	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents
	by Number of Years the company's Existence in FCIE, Dasmariñas60
14	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents
	by Number of Years the company's Existence in FCIE, Dasmariñas60
15	Distribution of Respondents by Classification of Manufacturing Company61
16	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents
	by Success Factors64
17	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents
	by Success Factors64
18	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents
	by Success Factors
19	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents
	by Effectiveness
20	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents
	by Effectiveness67
21	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents
	by Effectiveness67
22	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents
	by Most Used Quality Programs70
23	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents
	by Most Used Quality Programs70
24	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents
	by Most Used Quality Programs70
25	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents
	by Most Used Analysis Tools73
26	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents
	by Most Used Analysis Tools73

Figure	No. Title	Page
27	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Most Used Analysis Tools	73
28	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Wastes Eliminate	76
29	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Wastes Eliminate	70
30	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Wastes Eliminate	70
31	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Difficulty in Implementation.	79
32	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Difficulty in Implementation.	79
33	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Difficulty in Implementation.	79
34	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Contribution to the Failure.	82
35	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Contribution to the Failure.	82
36	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Contribution to the Failure.	82
37	Distribution of Electronics Company Respondents	
	by Hindrances.	85
38	Distribution of Automotive Company Respondents	
	by Hindrances.	85
39	Distribution of Metal Company Respondents	
	by Hindrances.	85

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	e No. Title	Page
1	Industry Respondents' Rate of Response	41
2	Educational Attainment	50
3	Years In Service as an Employee	53
4	Length of Experience in 5-S Practice	56
5	Number of Years the Company's Existence	59
6	Classification of Manufacturing Company	
7	Degree of Success Factors	63
8	Degree of Effectiveness.	66
9	Degree of Most Used Quality Programs	69
10	Degree of Most Used Analysis Tools	72
11	Degree of Wastes Eliminate	75
12	Degree of Difficulty in Implementation	78
13	Degree of Contribution to the Failure of 5-S	81
14	Degree of Hindrances	84
15	Significance on Success Factors	86
16	Significance on Effectiveness	87
17	Significance on Most Used Quality Programs	88
18	Significance on Most Used Analysis Tools	89
19	Significance on Wastes Eliminate	89
20	Significance on Difficulty in Implementation	90
21	Significance on Contribution to the Failure of 5-S	91
22	Significance on Hindrances	92