

# SIX SIGMA IN THE FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PROCESSES OF NANJING INSTITUTE OF GEOLOGY AND MINERAL RESOURCES, CHINA

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School of Business

De La Salle University-Dasmarinas

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Business Administration

By

Cao Chunqian

August 2005





#### Abstract

Title: Six Sigma in the Financial Management Processes of Nanjing Institute of Geology and Mineral Resources, China

Researcher:

Cao Chunqian

Adviser:

Prof. Marilou C. Jopillo

Year Completed:

2005

Type of Document: Masteral Thesis

No. of Pages:

123

#### **Summary**

The study sought to determine the Six Sigma performance level of financial management processes and its impact on financial management of Nanjing Institute of Geology and Mineral Resources, China. To meet this goal, the researcher developed the operational framework for the study based on the concept of Six Sigma and nonprofit financial management, which is: when Six Sigma is adopted in financial management, the performance of financial management processes can be measured by Six Sigma indicators, such as defects per opportunity (DPO), first pass yield (FPY), defects per million opportunities (DPMO), and sigma level. Descriptive and historical research methods, particularly Six Sigma scatter diagram, single factor ANOVA and benchmarking were employed in the study to analyze the performance of financial management processes.



The results of this study showed that: a) the number of defects of all financial management processes, except long-term debt management, was relatively high in 2002 and decreased significantly year-on-year in 2003 and 2004; b) the DPO and DPMO of all financial management processes, except long-term debt management, were relatively high in 2002 and decreased significantly year-on-year in 2003 and 2004; while the FPY and sigma level of all financial management processes, except long-term debt management, were relatively low in 2002 and increased significantly year-on-year in 2003 and 2004; c) for all financial management processes except long-term debt management, the sigma level illustrated an upward pattern in scatter diagram and ANOVA test showed significant improvement over the 3 years. d) the benchmarking indicated that most financial management processes, particularly capital budgeting and cash management, did not achieve the recognized average performance level of 4 sigma and their performance was far below world class performance level of 6 sigma; f) apart from defects deduction, Six Sigma saved manpower, shortened lead time in most financial management processes, and reduced expenses of financial department. Recommendations for financial department to take appropriate improvement and control methods to further reduce defects, train the employees on Six Sigma, redesign some low performance processes if necessary, and most of all cultivate Six Sigma culture in the organization to raise the efficiency of financial management and customer satisfaction.



### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Title Page	i i
List of Ta	ibles v
List of Fig	gures vii
Chapter	
1.	The Problem and Its Background
	1.1 Introduction
	1.2 Background of the Study
	1.3 Theoretical Framework
	1.4 Operational Framework 6
	1.5 Statement of the Problems
	1.6 Hypotheses 8
	1.7 Significance of the Study 9
	1.8 Scope and Limitation
	1.9 Definition of Terms
2.	Review of Related Literature and Studies
	2.1 Related Literature
	2.2 Related Studies
	2.3 Assessment
· 3.	Research Methodology
	3.1 Research Design 29



### De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

GRAD	UATE	<b>PRO</b>	GRAM

3.2 Data Management
3.3 Data Treatment
4. Presentation, Interpretation and Analysis of Data
4.1 Profile of Financial Management Process
4.2 Six Sigma Indicators
4.3 Six Sigma Scatter Diagram and ANOVA Test
4.4 Benchmarking for Financial Management Process 80
4.5 Impact on Financial Management
5. Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations
5.1 Summary of Findings 96
5.2 Conclusions 98
5.3 Recommendations
Appendices
A. Sigma Capability Conversion Table
B. Breakdown of Defects in Cash Budgeting
C. Breakdown of Defects in Capital Budgeting107
D. Breakdown of Defects in Cash Management
E. Breakdown of Defects in Inventory Management
F. Breakdown of Defects in Accounts Receivable Management110
G. Breakdown of Defects in Accounts Payable Management111
H. Breakdown of Defects in Fixed Assets Management
I. Breakdown of Defects in Long-Term Debt Management113



J.	Breakdown of Defects in Financial Reporting114
K.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Cash Budgeting
L.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Capital Budgeting
M.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Cash Management 116
N.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Inventory Management
О.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Accounts Receivable Management
P.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Accounts Payable Management
Q.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Fixed Assets Management 118
R.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Long-Term Debt Management
S.	Microsoft Excel Output for Single Factor ANOVA Test
	of Financial Reporting
Bibliograp	hy



### List of Tables

	Page	е
Table		
1.	DPMO Conversion Table	2
2.	Cash Budgeting Defects, Units and Opportunities	r
3.	Capital Budgeting Defects, Units and Opportunities	)
4.	Cash Management Defects, Units and Opportunities 41	
5.	Inventory Management Defects, Units and Opportunities 43	3
6.	Accounts Receivable Management Defects, Units and	
	Opportunities	5
7.	Accounts Payable Management Defects, Units and	
	Opportunities 4	7
8.	Fixed Assets Management Defects, Units and Opportunities 49	•
9.	Long-Term Debt Management Defects, Units and	
	Opportunities	1
10	Financial Reporting Defects, Units and Opportunities	)
11.	. Cash Budgeting DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level 55	5
12	. Capital Budgeting DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level 50	6
13	. Cash Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level 58	3
14	. Inventory Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level 59	)
15	. Accounts Receivable Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and	
	Sigma Level 6	1



16. Accounts Payable Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and		
Sigma Level	(	62
17. Fixed Assets Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level	6	54
18. Long-Term Debt Management DPO, FPY, DPMO and		
Sigma Level	'	65
19. Financial Reporting DPO, FPY, DPMO and Sigma Level	(	66
20. Benchmarking for Cash Budgeting		81
21. Benchmarking for Capital Budgeting	8	82
22. Benchmarking for Cash Management		83
23. Benchmarking for Inventory Management		84
24. Benchmarking for Accounts Receivable Management	:	85
25. Benchmarking for Accounts Payable Management		86
26. Benchmarking for Fixed Assets Management	;	87
27. Benchmarking for Long-Term Debt Management	••••	88
28. Benchmarking for Financial Reporting	•••	89
29. Average Number of Employees in Financial Management		
Process	••••	92
30. Average Lead Time of Financial Management Process	•••	93
31. Expenses of Financial Department	••••	94
32. Summary Results of Hypotheses	1	00



### List of Figures

	Page
Figure	
1.	Shifted Six Sigma Process 5
2.	Operational Framework
3.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Cash Budgeting
4.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Capital Budgeting
5.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Cash Management
6.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Inventory Management 72
7.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Accounts Receivable
	Management 74
8.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Accounts Payable
	Management
9.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Fixed Assets Management 77
10.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Long-Term Debt
	Management
11.	Scatter Diagram on Sigma Level of Financial Reporting