

# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

An Evaluation of Instructional Management in  
Four Dominican-Managed Diocesan Schools  
in Davao del Norte

1994

A Thesis  
Presented to  
The Faculty of the College of Education  
De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Master of Science in Educational Management

by  
Sr. Ma. Corazon Damasco, O.P.  
August, 1994



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgment . . . . .	i
Thesis Abstract . . . . .	iv
Chapter	
<b>1. THE PROBLEM AND A REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
Review of Related Literature . . . . .	4
Concept of Educational Evaluation . . . . .	4
Nature of Instructional Management . . . . .	7
Empirical Literature . . . . .	8
Synthesis . . . . .	15
Conceptual Framework . . . . .	17
Statement of the Problem . . . . .	25
Significance of the Study . . . . .	26
Scope and Limitations . . . . .	28
Definition of Terms . . . . .	29
<b>2. METHODOLOGY . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
Research Design . . . . .	31
Respondents of the Study . . . . .	31
Instruments . . . . .	33
Data- Gathering Procedures . . . . .	40



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

Chapter	Page
Statistical Treatment and Analysis of Data . . . . .	41
<b>3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION. . . . .</b>	<b>42</b>
Context Evaluation . . . . .	42
Mission Statement, Goals and Objectives of the Respondent Schools . . . . .	42
Objectives of Instructional Management in the Respondent Schools . . . . .	56
Input Evaluation . . . . .	58
Educational Qualifications . . . . .	58
Work Experience. . . . .	60
Professional Performance . . . . .	62
School Facilities. . . . .	65
Classrooms . . . . .	65
Laboratory . . . . .	68
Library. . . . .	71
Science Rooms. . . . .	74
Equipment and Supplies . . . . .	77
Books and Periodical Collections . . . . .	77
Process Evaluation . . . . .	83
Problems Met by the Faculty. . . . .	83
Problems Met by the Instructional Supervisors. . . . .	86




# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

Chapter	Page
Solutions to Problems Concerning Instruction and Management of Instruction. . . . .	89
Administrative Concern for Effective Instruction. . . . .	95
Faculty Development. . . . .	97
Product Evaluation . . . . .	98
Principals' Assessment of the Attainment of the Objectives of Instructional Management . . . . .	100
Teachers' Teaching Performance . . . . .	107
Student Learning . . . . .	111
Curriculum . . . . .	117
Relevance . . . . .	117
Comprehensiveness . . . . .	120
Integration and Articulation. . . . .	122
Co-curricular Activities. . . . .	124
Discussion . . . . .	127
<b>4. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS. . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
Summary. . . . .	137
Findings . . . . .	139
Conclusions. . . . .	144
Recommendations. . . . .	144
References. . . . .	148



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

Appendices. . . . .	151
Appendix A. . . . .	151
Appendix B. . . . .	152
Appendix C. . . . .	153
Appendix D. . . . .	154
Appendix E. . . . .	155
Appendix F. . . . .	179
Appendix G. . . . .	203
Appendix H. . . . .	205



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Distribution of Respondents. . . . .	32
2. Distribution of Selected Areas of the PAASCU Instruments Adopted for the Study. . . . .	35
3. Respondents' Highest Educational Attainment.	57
4. Respondents' Length of Work Experience . . .	59
5. Respondent Teachers' Teaching Performance as Perceived by the Faculty and the Instructional Supervisors. . . . .	61
6. Evaluation of the Classrooms of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents. . . .	63
7. Evaluation of the Laboratory of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents. . . .	66
8. Evaluation of the Library of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents . . . . .	69
9. Evaluation of the Science Rooms of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents . . . .	72
10. Evaluation of the Equipment and Supplies of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents. .	75
11. Evaluation of the Book and Periodical Collections of the Respondent Schools by the Respondents . . . . .	78
12. Instructional-Related Problems Identified by Teacher Respondents. . . . .	81
13. Frequency Distribution of Respondent Principals and Subject Coordinators According to Problems Met Concerning Instructional Management . . . . .	84



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

Table	Page
14. Solutions to Identified Problems That Have Been Met by the Teachers and the Instructional Supervisors. . . . .	87
15. Evaluation of Administrative Concern for Effective Instruction in the Respondent Schools by the Faculty and the Instructional Supervisors. . . . .	90
16. Respondents' Evaluation of Faculty Development. . . . .	96
17. Principals' Perceptions of the Extent of Attainment of the Instructional Management Objectives in the Respondent Schools . . . .	99
18. Evaluation of Teachers' Teaching Performance Across Schools by the Respondents. . . . .	104
19. Respondents' Evaluation of Science Laboratory Teaching and Activities . . . . .	109
20. Respondents' Evaluation of Students' Learning Across Schools. . . . .	112
21. Respondents' Evaluation of the Relevance of the Curriculum of the Respondent Schools. . . . .	116
22. Respondents' Evaluation of the Comprehensiveness of the Curriculum of the Respondent Schools. . . . .	118
23. Respondents' Evaluation of Integration and Articulation in the Curriculum of the Respondent Schools . . . . .	121
24. Respondents' Evaluation of the Co-curricular Activities of the Respondent Schools . . . .	123



# DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. CIPP Evaluation Model. . . . .	24
2. Data Gathering Plan of the Evaluation of Instructional Management in the Respondent Schools Based on Stufflebeam's CIPP Evaluation Model. . . . .	37
3. Mission Statements, Goals and Objectives of the Respondent Schools . . . . .	43
4. Goals and Objectives of the Respondent Schools . . . . .	55

