



A Special Project Presented to The College of Science and Computer Studies Graduate Studies De La Salle University – Dasmariñas City of Dasmariñas, Cavite

> In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the degree of Master in Biology

SHERINE M. CRUZATE

May 2013

ABSTRACT

Superficial fungal infections are extremely common on tropical countries like the Philippines and the warm and humid condition favour the growth of pathogenic fungi. Thus, this study assessed the distribution of superficial fungal pathogens isolated from various body sites of symptomatic and symptomatic farmers of Indang and Amadeo, Cavite. A total of 701 clinical specimens, comprised of toenails, fingernails, hairs and skin scrapings from arm and foot were collected. Direct microscopic examination (KOH mount) revealed a high KOH positive on skin scrapings taken from the symptomatic farmers. Sixty-eight farmers exhibited symptoms of tinea corporis, tinea unguium, tinea pedis and tinea capitis while 105 farmers were asymptomatic to fungal infections. Affected farmers belong to age groups of 38 - 46 and 56 - 64 and have been engaged in farming for more than 12 years. Male farmers are prone to infection as compared to females and they had history of diabetes, arthritis and hypertension. This was significantly different from the percent KOH positive on toenails and fingernails taken from asymptomatic farmers. Results also revealed that not all KOH positive samples were cultured positive. Fungal isolates were identified belonging to four genera. Trichophyton was the only dermatophyte and known as a causative agent of dermatophytoses while Fusarium, Aspergillus and Penicillium were all non-dermatophytic fungi. Trichophyton was common on asymptomatic farmer and found predominantly in most clinical samples except from hair while the non-dermatophytic fungi were isolated from foot and arm scrapings on asymptomatic farmers and from toenails and fingernails of symptomatic farmers. Statistical analysis revealed that gender, years engaged in farming and medical history were found to have a significant association with the presence of fungal infection. However, no significant differences were observed on the distribution of fungal isolates among symptomatic and asymptomatic farmers of Indang and Amadeo, Cavite.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE		
TITLE PAGE	1		
ABSTRACT	2		
APPROVAL SHEET	3		
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	4		
TABLE OF CONTENTS	6		
LIST OF TABLES	9		
LIST OF FIGURES	10		
CHAPTERS			
I. INTRODUCTION			
Background of the Study	11		
Statement of the Problem	13		
Scope and Limitations	16		
Significance of the Study	17		
II. METHODOLOGY			
Research Design	19		
Selection of Subjects	19		
Research Procedure	20		
Collection of Specimen	20		
Direct Microscopic Examination	22		



	Cultural Characterization	22	
	Microscopic Morphology	23	
	Identification	24	
	Statistical Design	24	
III.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
	Fungal Infections of the Farmers	25	
	Socio-demographic Profiles of the Farmers	27	
	KOH Positive Samples	32	
	Fungal Isolates	35	
	Characteristics and Identity of Fungal Isolates	38	
	Distribution of Fungal Isolates Among Farmers	42	
IV. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS			
	Major Findings	51	
	Conclusions	53	
	Recommendations	55	
СІТ	TED REFERENCES	56	
AP	PENDICES		
	A. Sample Questionnaire	64	
	B. Photo Documentation	67	
	C. Key to Identification of Fungi	70	
	D. Statistical Analysis	72	



LIST OF TABLES

TITLE	
 Superficial fungal infections observed in the farmers of Indang and Amadeo, Cavite 	25
Types of infection in relation with socio-demographic profiles and other variables	29
 Living condition and hygienic practice of symptomatic farmers 	31
 Significant differences of clinical specimens in percent KOH positive taken from farmers of Indang and Amadeo, Cavite 	33
5. Significant differences between the clinical specimens and percent culture recovery taken from farmers of Indang and Amadeo, Cavite	36
 Colony and microscopic morphology of identified fungal isolates 	38
7. Test for significant differences in the distribution of fungal isolates among symptomatic and asymptomatic farmers	49

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE
39
40
41
42
43
46
48