

### CAPITAL INFLOWS AND REAL EXCHANGE RATE IN SELECTED DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

A Thesis
Presented to the Faculty of the
Allied Business Department
College of Business Administration and Accountancy
De La Salle University-Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas City, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Business Administration
(Major in Economics)

MIKO T. JABOLA

April 2014



#### **ABSTRACT**

JABOLA, M. T., Capital Inflows and Real Exchange Rate in Selected Developing Countries Bachelor of Science in Business Administration Major in Economics, De La Salle University- Dasmariñas, Cavite, April 2014. Adviser: Mr. Don S. Malabanan.

Foreign capital inflows to carry substantial benefits, impulsive investment, and economic growth into the recipient developing economy; as it helps emerging economies end the technology gap with the advanced economies. However, despite these foreign capital inflows, large surge of these inflows may also bring undesirable outcomes in macroeconomics.

Generally, this paper focused on the effect of capital inflows on real exchange rate across five developing countries such as Brazil, China, India, Mexico, and the Philippines. The study also presented capital inflows – remittances and foreign direct investment, and real exchange rate movements in 1980 to 2011. Afterwards, effects of these inflows on real exchange rate in each country were also explained through the multiple OLS regression. Lastly, panel regression was used to determine the effect of remittances and foreign direct investment on real exchange rates in all the selected countries.

The research provided three models for: model 1: remittances, model 2: foreign direct investment, and model 3: total capital inflows that were regressed separately. In Model 1, remittances cause real depreciation for India and Mexico

# De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

and real appreciation for the Philippines. In Model 2, foreign direct investment was found to be insignificant in all countries. Finally, in Model 3, total capital inflows showed to be significant in China and the Philippines at 10 percent. Moreover, the panel regression provided no significant key explanatory variables in the model.

Since the study hypothesized that these capital inflows cause appreciation of real exchange rate which was reflected in the Philippines, this paper also provided an elaboration of the detection of Dutch disease in the Philippines – that the Philippines has been experiencing Dutch disease since the mid-2000s, as its exports of goods and services declined along with the increase in remittances that causes real appreciation. Although the Philippines had the Dutch disease phenomenon, it is not hurting the economy, given that real GDP per capita happens to be increasing over time.



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE PAGE                              | i    |
| APPROVAL SHEET                          | ii   |
| BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH                     | iii  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT                         | iv   |
| ABSTRACT                                | v    |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS                       | vii  |
| LIST OF TABLES                          | X    |
| LIST OF FIGURES.                        | xi   |
| INTRODUCTION                            | 1    |
| Background of the Study                 | 5    |
| Statement of the Problem                | 7    |
| Objectives of the Study                 | 10   |
| Hypotheses of the Study                 | 10   |
| Significance of the Study               | 13   |
| Scope and Limitations of the Study      | 14   |
| Definition of Terms                     | 14   |
| REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE            | 17   |
| Capital Inflows to Developing Economies | 17   |



| Policies in Capital Inflows Control           | 20 |
|---|----|
| Foreign Direct Investment as a Capital Inflow | 21 |
| Remittances as a Capital Inflows              | 22 |
| FRAMEWORKS OF THE STUDY                       | 24 |
| Theoretical Framework                         | 24 |
| Conceptual Framework                          | 28 |
| METHODOLOGY                                   | 32 |
| Research Design                               | 32 |
| Sources of Data                               | 32 |
| Methods of Analysis                           | 32 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                        | 38 |
| Real Exchange Rate in 1980 to 2011            | 38 |
| Real exchange rate in Brazil                  | 38 |
| Real exchange rate in China                   | 44 |
| Real exchange rate in India                   | 49 |
| Real exchange rate in Mexico                  | 56 |
| Real exchange rate in the Philippines         | 60 |
| Foreign Direct Investment in 1980 to 2011     | 67 |
| Foreign direct investment in Brazil           | 68 |
| Foreign direct investment in China            | 70 |
| Foreign direct investment in India            | 72 |



| Foreign direct investment in Mexico   | 72  |
|---|-----|
| Foreign direct investment in the Philippines  | 74  |
| Remittances in 1980 to 2011   | 76  |
| Remittances in Brazil   | 78  |
| Remittances in China  | 79  |
| Remittances in India  | 81  |
| Remittances in Mexico   | 83  |
| Remittances in the Philippines  | 84  |
| Effect of Remittances on Real Exchange Rate   | 88  |
| Effect of Foreign Direct Investment on Real Exchange Rate   | 96  |
| Effects of Remittances and Foreign Direct Investment on Real Exchange Rate                        | 100 |
| Effects of Remittances and Foreign Direct Investment on Real Exchange Rate using Panel Regression | 101 |
| SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS   | 104 |
| REFERENCES  | 109 |
| APPENDIXES  | 114 |



#### LIST OF TABLES

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1     | Brazil's Real Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Remittances and Percentage Changes         | 39   |
| 2     | China's Real Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Remittances and Percentage Changes          | 45   |
| 3     | India's Real Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Remittances and Percentage Changes          | 51   |
| 4     | Mexico's Real Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Remittances and Percentage Changes         | 57   |
| 5     | The Philippines' Real Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Remittances and Percentage Changes | 62   |
| 6     | Summary of Full Regression Model Results (Model 1)   | 89   |
| 7     | Summary of Full Regression Model Results (Model 2)   | 91   |
| 8     | Summary of Full Regression Model Results (Model 3)   | 99   |
| 9     | Summary Results of Pooled Regression Model   | 103  |



### LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1      | Conceptual framework showing the effect of capital inflows, domestic credit, terms of trade, trade openness, real GDP per capita, government consumption on real exchange rate | 29   |
| 2      | Real exchange rate and change in real exchange rate in Brazil, 1980-2011   | 40   |
| 3      | Real exchange rate and foreign direct investment in Brazil, 1980-2011  | 42   |
| 4      | Real exchange rate and remittances in Brazil, 1980-2011  | 43   |
| 5      | Real exchange rate and change in real exchange rate in China, 1980-2011  | 47   |
| 6      | Real exchange rate and foreign direct investment in China, 1980-2011   | 48   |
| 7      | Real exchange rate and remittances in China, 1980-2011   | 50   |
| 8      | Real exchange rate and change in real exchange rate in India, 1980-2011  | 52   |
| 9      | Real exchange rate and foreign direct investment in India, 1980-2011   | 53   |
| 10     | Real exchange rate and remittances in India, 1980-2011   | 54   |
| 11     | Real exchange rate and change in real exchange rate in Mexico, 1980-2011   | 58   |



| 12 | Real exchange rate and foreign direct investment in Mexico, 1980-2011                           | 59 |
|----|---|----|
| 13 | Real exchange rate and remittances in Mexico, 1980-2011   | 61 |
| 14 | Real exchange rate and change in real exchange rate in the Philippines, 1980-2011               | 63 |
| 15 | Real exchange rate and foreign direct investment in the Philippines, 1980-2011                  | 65 |
| 16 | Real exchange rate and remittances in the Philippines, 1980-2011                                | 66 |
| 17 | Foreign Direct Investment and change in foreign direct investment in Brazil, 1980-2011          | 69 |
| 18 | Foreign direct investment and change in foreign direct investment in China, 1980- 2011          | 71 |
| 19 | Foreign direct investment and change rate in foreign direct investment in India, 1980-2011      | 73 |
| 20 | Foreign direct investment and change in foreign direct investment in Mexico, 1980- 2011         | 75 |
| 21 | Foreign direct investment and change in foreign direct investment in the Philippines, 1980-2011 | 77 |
| 22 | Remittances and change in remittances in Brazil, 1980-2011.                                     | 80 |
| 23 | Remittances and change in remittances in China, 1980- 2011                                      | 82 |
| 24 | Remittances and change in remittances in India, 1980- 2011.                                     | 85 |
| 25 | Remittances and change in remittances in Mexico, 1980- 2011                                     | 86 |



# De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

| 26 | Remittances and change in remittances in Philippines, 1980- 2011                                  | 87 |
|----|---|----|
| 27 | Remittances across Brazil, China, India, Mexico and the Philippines, 1980- 2011                   | 92 |
| 28 | Exports of goods and services across Brazil, China, India, Mexico and the Philippines, 1980- 2011 | 93 |
| 29 | Real exchange rate, remittances and exports of goods and services in the Philippines, 1980-2011   | 94 |
| 30 | Real exchange rate, remittances and exports of goods and services in the Philippines, 1980-2011   | 95 |