A Study to Meet the 5% Allowable Reject Rate of Frame 2 of the Glory Item at Shinkozan Corporation

A Practicum Study Presented to

The Faculty of Industrial Engineering Department

College of Engineering, Architecture and Technology

De La Salle University- Dasmariñas

Dasmarińas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

> Submitted By: Angcaya, Cyril John J. IEE51

> > Submitted To:

Engr. Ma. Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

March 2014



ABSTRACT

Shinkozan Corporation experienced an average reject rate of 7.24% of Frame 2 of the Glory Item which amounted to Php 2,140,344 from the month of June to November 2013. Based on the conducted study, four (4) types of defects were found. These were wrong hole-to-hole distances and wrong cuts of metal sheets, which were caused by a machine problem; wrong dimensions due to negligence of workers; and scratches due to improper material handling. The alternative courses of action will help meet the 5% allowable reject rate of the company.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Approval Sheet	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
CHAPTER I: Introduction	
Introduction	1
Background of the Study	2
Problem Statement	4
Objectives of the study	4
Scope and Limitation	4
Significance of the Study	5
Design and Methodology	6
Definition of Terms	7
CHAPTER II: Review of Related Literature	8
CHAPTER III: Findings and Analysis	
Data	14
Computation of the Problem	14



	Thirthozart Controllar
Fishbone Diagram	21
Problem Tree	23
Objective Tree	26

CHAPTER IV: Alternative Courses of Action

ACA 1	29
ACA 2	36
ACA 3	39
Cost Benefit Analysis	41

CHAPTER V: Conclusion and Recommendation

Conclusion	43

CHAPTER VI: Detailed Plan of Action

Gantt Chart 44

APPENDICES	48
Bibliography	49
Attachments	50
Curriculum Vitae	52



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE TITLE			
1	1 Summary of Rejects of Frame 2		14
2		Frequency of Defects of Frame 2	14
3		Causes of Defects	16
4	4 Price of Glory Item		16
5 Check Sheet by Month		17	
6		Why-Why Analysis	18
7 Pareto Analysis		19	
8		Types of Defects and Frequency	20
9		Defect Rate	31
10		Proposed Schedule	32
	10.1	For Frame 2 (thickness: 1.6mm)	32
	10.2	For other products (thickness (mm): 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)	33
	10.3	For other products (thickness (mm):1.0, 1.2, 1.5, 1.6)	34
	10.4	For other products (thickness (mm):2.0, 2.3, 3.2)	35
	10.5	For other products (thickness (mm): 6.0)	35
11		Comparative Analysis	37
12		SWOT Analysis	38
13		Cost Benefit Analysis	41



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	
1	Frame 2 Process Chart	15
2	Pareto Chart	19
3	Fishbone Diagram	21
4	Problem Tree	23
5	Objective Tree	26
6	Frame 2 After NCT Process	30
7	Proposed Sanctions	40
8	Required Number of Presses	40