

A study on meeting the 1% allowable reject rate of San Miguel Brewery Inc.

(POLO Brewery) in producing 30 mL San Miguel Flavored Beer for the months of

June to November 2013

A Practicum Study Presented to the Faculty of the College of Engineering, Architecture and Technology

De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfilment

Of the Requirements for the Degree of

Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

Submitted by:

**Abigael Magno** 

IEE52

Submitted to:

Engr. Ma. Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

March 2014

## **ABSTRACT**

This paper is about meeting the 1% allowable reject rate of San Miguel Brewery Inc. (POLO Brewery) in producing 30 mL San Miguel Flavored Beer. The company experiences 1.20% reject rate amounting to PhP 6,175,072.75 in the months of June to November 2013. The production cannot meet the allowable reject because of absence of regular maintenance in Labeling Machine, damaged crown cork, and negligence of workers. It is proposed to have alternative courses of action such as providing regular maintenance for Labeling Machine, reviewing of contract with the supplier and setting disciplinary actions to the workers.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Approval Sheet	
<b>Acknowledgement</b>	
Abstract	
Tables	i
Figures	ii
Chapter I Introduction Background of the Study	
Introduction	1
Background of the Study	3
Statement of the Problem	4
Objectives of the Study	4
Significance of the Study	. 5
Scope and Limitation	6
Design and Methodology	6
Definition of Terms	7
Chapter II	
Review of Related Literature	8
Chapter III	
Presentation of Data	22
Computations	23
Chapter IV	
Problem Tree	39
Objective Tree	42
Chapter V	•
Alternative Courses of Action #1	45
Alternative Courses of Action #2	50
Alternative Courses of Action #3	57
Cost Benefit Analysis	62

65
66
67

On-the-job Training Daily Task Record
On-the-job Training Pictures
Certificate of Proofreading
Proofreader's Diploma
Proofreader's PRC License
Researcher's Profile

## **Tables**

3.1 Monthly Reject Rate	22
3.2 Summary of Causes	27
3.4 Check Sheet	29
3.5 Pareto Analysis	30
3.6 Why-Why Analysis	31
3.7.a Labeling Machine Actual Maintenance	32
3.7.b Lifespan of Labeling Machine	33
3.7.c Average lasted minutes for misaligned Pallet	34
3.8.a Number of rejected output caused by Damaged Crown Cork	35
3.9.a Number of rejected output caused by Stubbornness of workers	36
3.9.b List of helpers in the production	37
3.9.c List of reported incident	37
5.1.a Comparison of existing and proposed maintenance	46
5.1.b List of sanctions	58
5.1.c Cost Benefit Analysis	64
7.1 Gantt Chart	68

3.1.a Process Flow Chart  3.1.b General Process Flow  3.5 Pareto Chart  4.1 Problem Tree  4.2 Objective Tree  5.1.a Bidding Process  5.1.b Flowchart of sanctions  5.1.c Action for implementing sanctions	Figures	
3.3 Fishbone Diagram 3.5 Pareto Chart 3.6 L1 Problem Tree 3.9 L2 Objective Tree 4.1 Bidding Process. 5.1 B Flowchart of sanctions 5.1 C Action for implementing sanctions 61	1.1.a Process Flow Chart	24
3.5 Pareto Chart 3.1 Problem Tree 3.9 4.2 Objective Tree 4.1 Bidding Process 5.1 Bidding Process 5.1 C Action for implementing sanctions 61	1.1.b General Process Flow	26
<b>8.2 Objective Tree 8.1.a Bidding Process. 8.1.b Flowchart of sanctions 8.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 9.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 9.1.c 9.1.</b>		28
<b>8.2 Objective Tree 8.1.a Bidding Process</b> . <b>8.1.b Flowchart of sanctions 8.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 8.1.c Action for implementing sanctions</b>	9.5 Pareto Chart	30
<b>8.2 Objective Tree 8.1.a Bidding Process. 8.1.b Flowchart of sanctions 8.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 9.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 9.1.c 9.1.</b>	<b>L1 Problem Tree</b>	39
<b>5.1.c</b> Action for implementing sanctions 61		42
5.1.c Action for implementing sanctions 61	1.1.a Bidding Process.	55
Selle United States of the Control o	5.1.b Flowchart of sanctions	60
Since 1977 • Dasmantas. Caller.	5.1.c Action for implementing sanctions	61