THE EFFECTS OF THREE METHODS OF REMEDIAL TEACHING
ON STUDENT'S ACHIEVEMENT IN SELECTED TOPICS
OF COLLEGE ALGEBRA

6-1 MIC 3

A Dissertation
Presented to

of Education, Arts and Sciences

De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirement for the Degree

Doctor of Philosophy in Science Education

(Mathematics)

by

Charita A. Luna

October, 1989

ABSTRACT

Title: The Effects of Three Methods of Remedial Teaching on Student's Achievement in Selected Topics of

College Algebra

Researcher: Charita A. Luna

Adviser : Melecio C. Deauna, Ph. D.

School: De La Salle University

Subject Area: Mathematics

Degree Conferred : Doctor of Philosophy in Science Education

Major in Mathematics

The Problem

This study focused on the effects of three methods of remedial teaching to help "mathematically" deficient college students. The investigator attempted to determine which method of remedial teaching in College Algebra had the best effect on the achievement scores.

The Methodology

A total of 240 first year technical students who were intact groups were the subjects of the study. There were six sections composed of 40 students each with different shop majors. They were given pretest of the achievement test, mental ability test and a mathematics attitude scale at the start of the experiment. The six



groups were taught by the same teacher in College Algebra during the regular class schedule and after each class a remedial class followed. Two sections had their remedial class using the lecture or traditional method, the other two used individualized programmed lessons while the remaining two used the team-assisted individualization method. The scores of the quizzes of the first three groups using the three remedial teaching methods were given a weight of 20% of their grades, while the quiz scores of the remaining three groups were not given any weight. The analysis of covariance was used to test the achievement differences of the groups while the analysis of variance was used to test the variance in attitude deviations. The pretest was used as covariate in the study.

Findings

Results of the analysis had the following findings:

(1) Students whose remedial quiz scores were given a weight of 20% of their grades achieved higher than those whose quiz scores were not given weight in their grades.

(2) There was a significant difference between the team assisted individualization method and the traditional method; there was also a significant difference between the TAI method and the II method. The students who were taught using the team assisted individualization method of



remedial teaching achieved higher scores than the students who were taught in the traditional and individualized instruction methods. (3) No significant difference in achievement was found among the groups taught in the traditional and the individualized method. (4) There was a significant difference in the deviation of attitudes of students towards mathematics with the team-assisted group having exhibited a significant change in attitude.

Recommendation

Based on the study the following recommendations were made: (1) Similar studies be made in other mathematics subjects so that more general conclusions can be formulated regarding remedial class,(2)Team-assisted individualization of remediation should be included in College Algebra curriculum with quizzes as part of the grade of the course and (3) Team-assisted individualization method of remediation be adopted by mathematics teachers.



vii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page	i
_ Approval Sheet	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Abstract	iv
List of Tables	x
List of Appendices	Хi
Chapter	
1 The Problem	1
Introduction	1
Theoretical Framework	3
Statement of the Problem	9
Hypotheses	10
Basic Assumptions	11
Importance of the Study	12
Scope and Limitation of the Study	13
Definition of Terms	13
2 Review of Related Literature	17
Foreign Studies	2.3
Local Studies	29
Summary	31



viii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter Pag		
3	Methodology	33
•*	The Research Target Population	34
	The Sample	35
	The Sample's Profile	36
	The Sampling Procedure	37
	Research Design	39
	The Instrument	43
	Method of Statistical Analysis	44
4 Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation		
	of Data	46
	Distribution of Data	46
	Correlation Matrix	49
	Analysis of Covariance	52
	Analysis of Variance	58
	Student's Perception on Remedial	
	Teaching	61
	Observer's Perception	63
	Summary of Findings	64
- 5-	Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	69
	Summary	69
• ,	Findings of the Study	72
	Conclusions	74



ix

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Recommendations	75
Bibliography	76
Appendices	80





LIST OF TABLES

		•
Tables		Page
1	The Sample Sections and Major Subjects	36
2	Section Distribution to Treatments	39
3	The Research Design	41
4	Grand Mean, Standard Deviation.	
	Skewness and Kurtosis	46
5	Correlation Matrix	50
6	Summary of Two Factor ANCOVA (Fixed	
	Effects)	53
7	Adjusted Posttest Mean	53
-8	Modified Tukey for Grand Adjusted	
	Posttest Mean Factor (B)	54
9	A Two Factor ANOVA (Fixed Effects)	59
10	Modified Tukey Summary Table	59
11	Weighted Mean of Student's	±
	Evaluation	61



LIST OF APPENDICES

			Page
A	-	A Letter of Request to Perform the Experiment	81
В	-	Mathematics Attitude Scale	82
C	-	Achievement Test on The Selected Topics in	
		College Algebra	84
D		Item Analysis	88
E	-	Evaluation Questionnaire (Traditional Method	
		of Instruction)	90
F	-	Evaluation Questionnaire (Individualized	
		Instruction)	92
G	-	Evaluation Questionnaire (Team Assisted	
		Individualization)	95
Н	-	Observer's Evaluation Questionnaire	9.8
Ι	_	Scope and Sequence of the Selected Topics in	
		College Algebra	100
J	<u>-</u>	Sample Instructional Material (Team Assisted	
		Individualization and Individualized	
		Instruction)	101

