MISCONCEPTIONS OF EDUCATION STUDENTS IN
TRANSLATING EXPRESSIONS FOR VERBAL PROBLEMS
IN MULTIPLICATION AND DIVISION OF
DECIMAL NUMBERS

建设有效的

A DISSERTATION

PRESENTED TO

THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

OF THE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

PH D IN SCIENCE EDUCATION

MAJOR IN MATHEMATICS

BY

ZENAIDA L. GARCIA



AKI ATANG FMII 10 AGUNALUI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Cover page	i
Approval Sheet	ii
Acknowledgment	iii
Table of Contents	. v
Abstract	×
Chapter (SIMANO)	
THE PROBLEM AND A REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	1
Review of Related Literature	3.
Studies on Students'- Misconceptions in Selving Afithmetic Verbal Problems Involving Decimal Numbers	3
Studies of Students' Misconceptions in Solving Algebra Problems in One Variable	
Synthesis	11
Theoretical Framework	15
Theoretical Paradigm	19
Statement of the beauties	24
Hypotheses	26
hypotheses	27_
2 METHOD	29
Subjects	29



į	Scholastic Aptitude Test	30
i	Arithmetical Models	31
	Word Problem Test	34
•	Individual and Group Interview	39
	Research Procedure	41
	Research Design	43
	Statistical Analysis	44
3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
-	Profile of the Subjects	46
	Subjects' Performance in the Word Problem Test	49
	Subjects' Performance in the	
	Scholastic Aptitude Test	52
	Students' Performance in Multiplication Problems	54
	Students' Performance in ,	
	Division Problems	63
	Comparison of Students' Scores in the Pretest, Posttest, and	
	Delayed Posttest	73
	Comparison of Students' Performance in the Word Problem	
	Test by Field of Specialization and Year Level	75
	Unadjusted and Adjusted Mean Scores in the Posttest	80
	Findings of Individual and Group Interviews	81



DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, AND 90 Summary . 90 Findings . . 93 Conclusions 95 Recommendations 96 REFERENCES 99 APPENDIX Word Problem Test 102 - B Word Problems Given in the Group Interview . 106 Pretest, Posttest, Delayed Posttest, and OLSAT Scores of the Subjects . . 107 CURRICULUM VITAE . 111



vii

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Research Design of the Study	44
2	Number of Math Minors and Math Majors in each Year Level	47
3	Mathematics Subjects' Other Field of Specialization by Year Level	48
4	Statistical Features of Students' Scores on the Pretest, Posttest, and Delayed Posttest by Field of Specialization and Year Level	50
5	Mean, Standard Deviation, and Skewness of Subjects' Scores on OLSAT by Field of Specialization and Year Level	53
6	Frequency of Responses to Multiplication Word Problems	55
7	Frequency of Responses to Division Word Problems	64
8	Comparison of the Pretest, Posttest, and Delayed Posttest Results of the Word Problem Test	74
9	Summary of Two-Factor ANCOVA	76
10	Unadjusted and Adjusted Mean Scores on the Word Problem Test by Field of Specialization and Year Level	
	THE PART HOADT A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	81



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Theoretical Paradigm	25
2	Graph of the Adjusted mean Scores	79





ABSTRACT

This experimental research determined some misconceptions in writing expressions for verbal problems in multiplication and division of decimal numbers of education students under different year levels. It further investigated whether significant differences between fields of specialization and among year levels in terms of students' performance in the word problem test exist. Finally, the effects of the interviews on the students' performance were also investigated.

One hundred twenty students, 15 math minors and 15, math majors from each year level, from the Pangasinan State University-Bayambang Campus, served as subjects of this study.

Using a word problem test it was found that the subjects' errors were basically caused by their misconceptions in translating verbal problems in multiplication and division of decimal numbers and such misconceptions were in turn caused by inadequate and limited conceptions of the operations.

In an attempt to improve students' performance interviews aimed to clarify and modify misconceptions were conducted and these proved beneficial to them. This



was indicated by significant differences in mean scores before and after the said interviews.

Using the pretest and the OLSAT raw scores as covariates, the ANCOVA revealed that classification of students into minor and major groups resulted to a significant difference in students' performance in translating verbal problems in favor of the latter. On the other hand, year level was not a significant source of variation to explain the difference in their performance. The interaction of the two factors, field of specialization and year level, was not significant. The major students scored significantly higher than their minor counterparts in all year levels.

The subjects continue to face difficulties when they have to write appropriate expressions for verbal problems in multiplication and division with numerical data that lead to conflict between the correct operation and the costraints of the corresponding model. It seems that the behavioral models of these operations become so deeply rooted in the students' mind that they continue to exert an unconscious control over mental behavior even after the students had acquired formal mathematical notions that are solid and correct. In fact, there was no progress in students' performance in the word problem



test with age. In view of this, there is a need to provide students with efficient and effective mental strategies that would enable them to control the impact of these models. Because today's education students are tomorrow's teachers, the teaching-learning cycle may perpetuate misconceptions and misunderstanding of the operations if the impact of these models is not controled.



