COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT OF HIGH SCHOOL PHYSICS TEACHERS

A Dissertation Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School

College of Education

De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree

Doctor of Philosophy in Science Education

Major in Physics

EPIFANIA BELBES - NUNEZ August 1996



ABSTRACT

Nuñez, Epifenia B. (1996). Competency Assessment of High School Physics Teachers

The focus of this study is assessment of the competency of high school physics teachers in the Science and Technology Coordinating Council (STCC) network schools in Region V. The assessment was based on the principle that the teacher is the most important factor that contribute to efficiency of learning. The research combined a descriptive survey technique through a researcher-made questionnaire, classroom observation, tests, and existing records. The analysis was made both in qualitative and quantitative way. Five instruments were used and they are as follows: content knowledge test for physics teachers, integrated science process skills test, teacher questionnaire, student assessment by teacher, and classroom observation guide. The statistical techniques used were: descriptive statistics, Pearson product correlation, stepwise multiple regression, item analysis with the use of indexes of discrimination and difficulty, Kuder Richardson formula 20, and Kendall Tau correlation. The .05 level was adopted in all tests of significance. This study showed that the high school physics teachers were rated competent in all the four measures of competency namely: knowledge of physics, science process skills, job performance in the classroom (as measured by classroom observation) for method and laboratory, and teacher-related variables except in graduate physics units earned. Teachers' job performance in the classroom (as measured by classroom observation by peers, student assessment of the teacher in the classroom and official efficiency rating of the teacher by the principal in collaboration with the science department head) is positively correlated with the science process skills possessed by secondary physics teachers, but negatively related to content knowledge competency of teachers. It is significantly related only to years of physics teaching experience. Twenty-five percent of the variation in the teachers' classroom performance could be explained by the contribution of years of teaching experience and in-service training in physics, which served as predictors for the criterion measure. The undergraduate mathematics units earned by the teacher is significantly but negatively related to knowledge of physics competency. The physics teachers were rated "very good" in the overall method of teaching.



The following recommendations are forwarded:

1. There is a greater need to train the teachers in the laboratory aspect in physics since they were found only to be satisfactory in that aspect. This could be done through in-service training of the teachers or through activities of Physics Teachers Association.

2. The science process skills test should be administered to teachers of other disciplines like chemistry and biology in order to find out how they perform when compared to physics teachers. This could be the

subject of a thesis by other graduate students.

 Further studies should be conducted to look into factors related to teacher competencies so that physics teaching could be improved.





TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	2
ABSTRACT	5
LIST OF TABLES	9
FIGURE	11
CHAPTER STATES OF THE STATES O	
1. THE PROBLEM AND A REVIEW	
OF RELATED LITERATURE	
1.1 Introduction	13
1.2 Review of Related Literature	
1.2.1 Foreign Studies	16
1.2.2 Local Studies	29
1.2.3 Summary	36
1.3 Theoretical Framework	39
1.3.1 Statement of the Problem	50
1.3.2 Research Hypotheses	51
1.3.3 Assumptions	53
2. METHODS	
2.1 Research Design	54
2.2 Subjects of the Study	55
2.2.1 Criteria for Selection of	
High Schools in the Network	56



	2.3	Research Instruments	57
	2.4	Investigative Procedures	68
	2.5	Statistical Techniques Used in the Study	70
3.	RES		
	3.1	Respondents' Profile	72
	3.2	How Competence is Measured	81
	3.3	Justification for Dichotomizing	
		Some Teacher-Related Variables	86
	3,4	Tests and Classroom Performance	92
	3.5	Tests, Classroom Performance and Teacher-Related Variables	94
	3.6	Significant Predictors of Job Performance	101
	3.7	Supplementary Analysis:	
		Analysis for Classroom Observations	
		3.7.1 Qualitative Analysis	106
		3.7.2 Quantitative Analysis	111
4.	SUI	MMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND	
	RE	COMMENDATIONS	
	4.1	Summary	120
	4.2	Findings	124
		Conclusions and Implications	128
	4.4	Recommendations	131
R	efe	RENCES	133



APPENDIX		
A	Letter to DECS Regional Director	141
В	The Bicol University- Regional Science Teaching Center	142
С	Map of Blool Region for the 12 STCC's Network Schools	147
D	Content Knowledge Test for	
	Physics Teachers	149
E	Science Process Skills Test	151
F	Teacher Questionnaire	154
G	Teacher Assessment by Students	156
H	Classroom Observation Catide Instrument	160
ı	Table of Specification for Content	
	Knowledge Test	163
1	Summary Table for Knowledge of Physics, Science Process Skills, Method and	
	Laboratory Teaching Level of Competency	165
K	Correlation Matrix	167
L	Topics Taught By The Respondent Teachers	169
3.6	Profile of the Observers	170



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	1	PAGE
1	Weights Distribution of the Different Components of Teaching	65
2	Degree of Agreement Between Two Raters in Seven Teaching Components Using Kendall Tan Correlations	67
3	Sex and Civil Status of the Teacher Respondents	72
4	A Summary Table of the Degree, Years of Teaching Experience in Physics, and Faculty Rank by the Respondent Teachers	74
5	A Summary Table of the Degree, Undergraduate Mathematics/Physics Units, and Graduate Physics Unit by the Respondent Teachers	135 7.7
6	Means and Standard Deviations for Job Performance in the Classroom (JPC), Content Knowledge Test (CKT), Science Process Skills Test (SPST), and Teacher-Related Variables	79
7	Distribution of Level Competency for Knowledge of Physics, Science Process Skills, Method, and Laboratory Teaching	83
8	Percentage Distribution for Teacher-Related Variables	88
9	Correlations Among Knowledge of Physics, Science Process Skills, and Job Performance in the Classroom	91



10	Summary of the Correlations Among Knowledge of Physics, Science Process Skills, Job Performance and Teacher-Related Variables	95
11	Summary of Stepwise Regression Procedure with Job Performance in the Classroom as Dependent Variable	102
12	Regression Coefficient and the Standard Error of Estimate of the Variable	103
13	Frequency and Percentage Distribution of the Teachers' Time Management, Dominated Discussion, and Motivational Technique	109
14	Ratings of Participants on the Different Teaching Components	112
15	Mean for Method and Laboratory	114
16	Mean Rating of the Laboratory Aspects of the Classroom	115
17	Mean Rating of the General Condition of the Laboratory Room	116



FIGURE

PAGE

Concept Map of the Research Paradigm in the Study



