BANKING WITH THE POOR: RURAL BANKS ENGAGED IN MICROFINANCE IN CALABARZON

A Dissertation E & E ()

Presented to The Graduate School

Polytechnic University of the Philippines

Sta. Mesa, Manila

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Doctor in Business Administration

By
Aurora dela Cruz Santaria
March 30, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
THILE PAGE	•••
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
AKNOWLEDGEMENT	🖽
ABSTRACT	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
CONTRACTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	
CHAPTER	
1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
Introduction	1
Background of the Study	7
Theoretical Francework	13
Conceptual Framework	16
Statement of the Problem	21
Hypotheses	23
Significance of the Study	24
Scope and Limitations of the Study	26
Definitions of Terms	26
Abbreviations	29
2 REVIEW OF RELATED LIFERATURE AND STUDIES	
Foreign Literature	30
Foreign Studies	40
Local Literature	41
Local Studies Synthesis	51 56

3	RESEARC	H DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	
	Res	search Design	58
	Res	search Method Used	58
	Res	earch Instrument	59
	Pop	miation and Sample Size	60
	Sar	apling Technique	63
	Des	cription of Respondents	63
	Dat	a Gathering Procedure	79
	Sta	tistical Treatment of Data	80
4	PRESENTA AN	Tion of findings, analysis D interpretation of data	83-121
5	SUMMARY	, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDA	ATION
		many of Findings	122
		onimendation	130 131
BJE	iliography		135-143
AP)	PENDICES		
	A	MARS History	
	B1 & B2	Map of CALARARZON; Brief History	of CALABARZON
	C	Circulars of BSP	
	D	Profile of Cavite, Laguna, Batangas, R	izal and Quezon
	E	List of Rural Banks in the Philippines	
	k	Official List of Rural Banks with Micro	dinance Functions
	G	Rural Banks with Microfinance Functi	ons in CALABARZON

•

.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
Figure 1	Microfinance Triangle	16
Figure 2	Paradigm of the Study	20
	Se la Sulling	Anilypines X

BANKING WITH THE POOR: RURAL BANKS ENGAGED IN MICROFINANCE IN CALABARZON

RESEARCHER: AURORA C. SANTARIN

NUMBER OF PAGES: 143 PAGES

RESEARCH METHOD: Descriptive and Qualitative

ADVISER: ATTY, RODOLFO T. DE LARA, C.P.A., and Ph.D.

DEGREE: DOCTOR IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION.

DATE OF DEFENSE: MARCH 30, 2005

The study gave the 22-CALABARZON Bangko Sentral Licensed-Rural Banks with Microfinance Service and their 340 three-time loan cycle borrowers a thorough look to identify the operational practices of the BSP Microfinance Service in contrast with the pioneering Bangladesh micro-credit models: the Grameen and ASA models. Only 18 were finally covered by the study after excluding two Rizal Province based rural banks which were just recently licensed to offer micro-credit and two others in Quezon Province where the researcher felt it was too risky to venture into in view of the unstable peace and order situation brought about by the constant encounters between the military and the left-wing- inspired group. Prior to these banks going into microfinance, executives of these respondent banks underwent rigorous training with MABS (Microemeprise Access to Banking Services) on micro-credit practices and philosophies.

A researcher-constructed survey questionnaire and a structured interview along with occasional qualitative observations were the principal data-gathering techniques used. Content and historical analyses were also availed. The qualitative approach was deemed significant in view of the differences in the socio-economic demographics of the seemingly well-heeled borrowers and the group leader of the cell-borrowers who were requested to participate in the studies. The economic environments and neighborhoods where the rural banks operated also warranted the use of the qualitative technique. Extremes in the lifestyles of borrowers where noted, the car riding borrowers and the seeming poor among the poorest in the other spectrum.

The salient statistical tools used were: percentage, ranking, average weighted mean, ANOVA, chi-square, t-test, and Kruskal-Wallis for the appropriate treatment and arrangement of the data consistent with the problems sought to be answered.

The rural bank participants exercised autonomy in the choice of micro-credit models to use, in fact, the micro-finance service is a variant of the original model and typical loan credit extended by as evidenced by varying minimum loans ranging from a (P5T high thousand figure) and a (P20 T) high two digit and for the maximum loan a minimum of (P20T) and a maximum of (P38T). These, in contrast with the their Grameen and ASA ranges, are too high, although the minimum of the minimum range when divided into a circle or group loan of eight members, would be a good approximation of the original models' minimum.

With respect to interest, prevailing interest rates are charged but noted variants in period. One bank was found charging 20% per every six month, the highest noted. While collaterals were not required, credit investigations look into land and other property holdings of borrowers, a practice necessitated by the difference in the loan requirements of the broad spectrum of borrowers. Respondent borrowers where unanimously overwhelming in claiming that the microfinance projects have bolstered their socio-economic standards of living including education and medical needs among others.

Except for the foregoing and most probably intensity of practice, most of the original features of the original micro-credit strategies found their way into the BSP Rural Bank Microfinance project. The study revealed, despite the relatively short period of time the Micro-finance project had been in existence, affirmed that the original GRAMEEN, ASA other variants could be viable rural bank service, perhaps as a function of a rural bank subsidiary.

KEY WORDS

Three time loan cycle, operational practices, unstable peace and order, well-heeled borrowers, autonomy, thorough look, car-riding borrowers

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Annual Per Capita Poverty Thresholds	8
2	Minimum Basic Needs Indicators	12
3 4	Sample Size of Respondent Rural Banks	61
	CALABARZON	62
5	Rural Banks Registration	64
6	Capitalization of Respondents Bural Banks	66
7	Respondent Rural Banks Profile by Source of Capital	68
8	Initial and Current Beneficiaries of Respondent Rural Banks	69
9	Initial and Current Loan Extended by Respondent Rural Banks	70
10	Executive Respondents by Position	72
11	Executive Respondents by Age Group	73
12	Executive Respondents by Gender	73
13	Executive Respondents by Marital Status	74
14	Executive Respondents by Educational Attainment	75
15	Executive Respondents by Length of Work	76

Table	Title	Page
16	Executive Respondents by Number of Years In Present Position	7 7
17	Executive Respondents Venue of Training Attended	78
18	Rural Banks Engaged on Microfinance as of December 31, 2004	83
19	Poverty Indicators Used by the Respondent	
20	Rural Banks by Province Differences on Poverty Indicators Used by the	85
21	Respondent Rural Banks by Province	85
22	Rural Banks by Province	88 89
23	Credit Worthiness Used by the Respondent Rural Banks by Province	90
24	Minimum and Maximum Amount Loaned by Province.	
25	Loan Duration of Respondent KHs by Province	91
26	Interest Rate Charged by the Respondent RBs by Province	92
27	Loan Requirement of the Respondent RBs by Province	. 93
28	Repayment Rate of the Respondent RBs by Province	. 93
29	Savings Requirement of the Respondent RBs By Province	94
30	Entrepreneurial Assistance Extended by the Respondent RBs by Province	0.2

Table	Title	Page
31	Difference on the Practices of the Respondent RBs by Province	96
32	Significant Difference on the Practices of the Rural Banks by Province	98
33	Number of Borrowers from the Inception and As of December 31, 2004	99
34	Borrowers' Gender by Province	100
35	Borrowers' Age Group by Province	100
36	Borrowers' Marital Status by Province	101
37	Borrowers' Educational Attainment by Province	102
38	Berrowers' Household Size by Province	103
39	Difference on the Borrowers' Gender by Province	104
40	Difference on the Borrowers' Household Size by Province	105
41	Initial and Current Capitalization of the Respondent RBs by Province	105
42	Difference on the Initial and Current Capitalization by Province	106
43	Number of Employee of the Respondent RBs by Province	107
44	Difference on the Number of Employees by Province	107
45	Bad Debts Expereience of the Respondent RBs	109

Table	Title	Page
46	Difference on the Bad Debt Experience of the	
	Respondent RBs by Province	109
47	Initial and Current Microfinance Loan Portfolio	
	of the Respondent REs by Province	110
48	Difference on the Microfinance Loan Portfolio	
	By Province	111
49	Repayment Experience of the Respondent	
	RBs by Province	112
5 0	Difference on Repayment Experience by Province	113
51	Enhanced the Quality of Life by Province	114
52	Differences on the Enhanced Quality of Life	
	of the Borrowers by Province	117
53	How the Program Helped the Borrowers by Province	118
54	Difference on how the Program Helped the	
	Borrowers by Province	119
55	Problem on Human Resources Encountered by	
-	The Respondent RBs by Province	120

.

H	Criteria for the face validation of the instrument
I A&B	A. Criteria for the Eace Validation of the Instrument B. Results of the Test for the Reliability of the Instrument
J	Communication letter to HSP Head on Micro Finance Core
K	Letter of Request noted by the Dean of the Graduate Studies
L1 to L18	Referral Letters addressed to 18 Rural Bank Respondents
M	E-Mail Letter address to Mr. Mobshin Habib requesting permission to pattern the questionnaire
N	Questionusires for Respondent Rural Banks and its' Borrowers
O1 to O18	Loan Application Forms used by 18 Rural Bank Respondents For their berrowers
P1 to P18	Certification of the 18 Executive Respondents for the survey done by Aurora C. Santarin
Q	Output from SPSS
R	CURRICULUM VITAE