DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL MODULES IN WORLD LITERATURE: A MULTIMODAL APPROACH

A Dissertation
Presented to the Faculty of the College of Education
Graduate Studies
De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Doctor of Philosophy
Major in Language Education
(with Specialization in English)

by

MARIA EDNA R. IÑIGO May 2013

ABSTRACT

Title of Research: **DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF**

INSTRUCTIONAL MODULES IN WORLD LITERATURE: A MULTIMODAL APPROACH

Author: MARIA EDNA R. IÑIGO

Degree: **Doctor of Philosophy**

Major: Language Education (with Specialization in

English)

Date of Completion: May 2013

This study aimed to develop and validate World Literature Modules for students of Catanduanes State University based on their identified needs and to determine if there was a significant difference in the pretest and posttest scores of the student-respondents after using the modules in the try-out.

A total of 41 students participated in the try-out. Findings showed that Modules 1 (Short Story) and 4 (Essay) were favorably evaluated by the students as reflected by the ratings of above 85%; hence, there was no need to revise them. Conversely, Modules 2 (Poetry) and 3 (Drama) needed some improvement as shown by the rating which was lower than 85%. Moreover, pretest and posttest results disclosed that the modules were effective in bringing about significant change in the cognitive skill of the student- respondents hence, the null hypothesis is rejected.

The study concluded that the respondents' exposure to activities and assignments employing multimodal approach resulted in favorable attitude

towards the subject literature as well as positive change in their cognitive skills. A novel module design employing multimodal approach in teaching World Literature is introduced to the field through this study.

This study recommends that in-service seminar-workshop on the preparation of modules designed to support learners in developing cognitive competence and reading skill must be among the priorities of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). Likewise, HEIs should promote the use of modular teaching methodology employing multimodal approach to specifically address the learners' needs of the contemporary environment.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	Page 1
ABSTRACT	2
APPROVAL SHEET	4
DEDICATION	5
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	6
TABLE OF CONTENTS	10
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	15
Chapter 1	
1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	
Introduction	16
Theoretical Framework	25
Purpose/Objective	32
Hypothesis	33
Scope and Delimitation of the Study	33
Significance of the Study	34
Definition of Terms	36
2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
Conceptual Literature	39
Research Studies	44
3 METHODOLOGY	
Research Design	52
Population of the Study	52
Research Instrument	52
Validation of the Instrument	55
Statistical Treatment	57
Development of the Module	59

	Design Phase	59
	Development Phase	60
	Needs Assessment	60
	Gathering of Materials in Literature Teaching	61
	Syllabus Design	61
	Writing of the Materials	62
	Internal Validation of the Materials	62
	Field Try-out Phase	63
	Preparation of the Table of Specification	63
	Construction and Validation of the Teacher-Made	
	Pre-test and <mark>Po</mark> st Test	64
	Administration of the Pre-test	64
	Try-out of the Modules	64
	Evaluation of Mod <mark>ul</mark> es	65
	Analysis of Feedback	66
	Administration of the Posttest	67
	Revision Phase	67
	Modification of the Module	67
	Final Revision of Materials	67
4	PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF	
	DATA Since 1977	
	Objective No. 1 Determining students' needs	68
	Result of Needs analysis	68
	Objective No. 2 Designing syllabus	72
	Syllabus Design	72
	Objective No. 3 Producing modules in World Literature	74
	Objective No. 4 Conducting formative evaluation	75
	Evaluation of the modules	83
	Revision Phase	107

	Objective No. 5 Determining the significant difference in	
5	the pretest and posttest scores	110
	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Summary	112
	Findings	113
	Conclusions	114
	Recommendations	115
	REFERENCES	116
	APPENDICES	
	A. Basis for the Selection of Literary Pieces in the Modu	les
	B. Needs Assessment Survey Form C. Pretest	
	D. Evaluation Form for the Instructional Module	
	E. Students' Overall Evaluation of the Modules	
	F. Module Evaluation Instrument for Faculty	
	G. Posttest	
	H. Kuder-Richardson formula (KR-21) for Reliability	
	I. Letter Seeking Permission to Conduct the Needs Assessment	
	J. Syllabus for World Literature used at CSU	
	K. CHED Memorandum Order No. 59 S. 1996	
	L. Letter Seeking Permission to Conduct the Module Try	/-out
	M. Pretest/Posttest Scores and z-test Result	
	N. Validators'/ Evaluators' /Statistician's Certifications	
	 O. CMO 46 s2012 - Policy Standard to Enhance Quality Assurance (QA) in Philippine Higher Education Throu an Outcomes-Based and Typology-Based QA 	gh
	P. Materials for Linking Text with Technology and other Parts of the Lessons and the Literary Approaches Employed for each of the Lessons	
	Q. About the Author	

R.

Deed of Declaration

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	Evaluation Tool for Students' Attitude towards Reading	58
Table 2	Evaluation Tool for Teaching-learning Style Preferences in Reading and/or Literature Subjects	58
Table 3	Tool for Summative Evaluation of the Module	58
Table 4	Students' Attitude towards Reading	68
Table 5	Students' Preferences as to Teaching and Learning Style in Reading and/or Literature Subjects	70
Table 6	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Evaluation for Liking of Activities and Assignments in Module 1	83
Table 7	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Opinion as to Teaching Approach and Learning Opportunity in Module 1	85
Table 8	Students' Evaluation for Liking of Activities and Assignments in Module 2	86
Table 9	Students' Opinion as to Teaching Approach and Learning Opportunity in Module 2	87
Table 10	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Evaluation as to Liking of Activities and Assignments in Module 3	89
Table 11	Students' Opinion as to Teaching Approach and Learning Opportunity in Module 3	90
Table 12	Frequency and Percentage of students' Evaluation as to Liking of Activities and Assignment in Module 4	91

Table 13	Students' Opinion as to Teaching Approach and Learning Opportunity in Module 4	92
Table 14	Frequency and Percentage of Students Opinion as to Classmates' Reaction to the Activities and Assignments in Module 1	93
Table 15	Students' Opinion as to the Selections in the Modules	95
Table 16	Students' Opinion as to the Cause of Difficulty of Selections in Module 1	96
Table 17	Students' Overall Impression of the Four Modules	97
Table 18	Result of the Module Evaluation by Students as to Teaching and Learning	99
Table 19	Result of the Module Evaluation by Students as to Activities and Assignment	101
Table 20	Activities/ Assignment Liked best	103
Table 21	Activities/ Assignment Considered Uninteresting and Irrelevant	104
Table 22	Respondents' Reason Why Their View about the Subject Literature Changed After the Try-out	105
Table 23	Faculty Evaluation for World Literature Module	106

LIST OF FIGURE/S

		Page
Figure 1	Materials Design Model by Smith and Ragan	26
Figure 2	Materials Design Model by the Author	31

