

A Study on Eliminating the the 17.94% Productivity Loss in Producing Lady's Choice Mayonnaise 3.5 L in Unilever Philippines Inc.

A Practicum Study Presented to the Faculty of the

College of Engineering Architecture and Technology

De La Salle University – Dasmariňas

Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

Submitted By:

BASE, Arielle A.

IEE52

Submitted To:

Engr. Ma. Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

March 2014

1



ABSTRACT

This study is related in the field of Time and Motion Study. The research was conducted at Unilever Philippines located at Gateway Business Park General Trias, Cavite. The observation was done in the production of 3.5 L Lady's Choice Mayonnaise where the researcher was assigned for her Practicum. Through interview and gathering of data from some of the staff in the production, where the problem is identified. The company has experienced a 17.94% of production loss from the month of July to December 2013. The root causes of the problems were also determined in this study. After determining the root causes of the problems, the researcher proposed alternative courses of action to prevent the occurrence of such problems. When alternative courses of action are implemented objectives of the study will be achieved.



Table of Contents

Title Pagei
Approval Sheetii
Acknowledgementsiii
Abstract v
Table of Contentsvi
List of Tables and Figuresix
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION
Company Profile
Background of the Study
Problem Statement
Objectives of the Study4
Scope and Limitation of the Study5
Significance of the Study6
Methodology7
Definition of Terms
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE9
History of Mayonnaise9
Background Information of Mayonnaise10



Materials and Methods	11
Nutrition	12
Food Safety and Quality	14
Manufacturing Mayonnaise	16
CHAPTER 3: PRESENTATION OF GATHERED DATA	18
Summary of Data from the Month of July to December 2013	
Summary of Profit Loss Computation	
Total Working Hours from July to December 2013	20
Process Flow Chart	21
Summary of Causes	
Record of Seal Applicator Downtime	23
Actual Picture of Seal Applicator	
Relabeling Process Time	
Readjusting of Cover Caps	26
Fishbone Diagram	27
CHAPTER 4: ANALYSIS OF GATHERED DATA	28
Problem Tree	28
Problem Tree Analysis	29
Objective Tree	31
Objective Tree Analysis	32



CHAPTER 5: ALTERNATIVE COURSES OF ACTION	34
ACA 1: Find Appropriate Supplier	34
Existing Contract	36
Proposed Contract	38
ACA 2: Procurement of High Standard Paper used in Labeling Machine	41
ACA 3: Procurement of New Cart	45
Cost-Benefit Analysis	49
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	50
CHAPTER 7: DETAILED PLAN OF ACTION	51
Gantt Chart	
Detailed Plan of Action	51
BIBLIOGRAPHY	52



LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Summary of Data from the month of July to December 2013	. 18
Table 3.2Total Working Hours from the month of July to December 2013	. 20
Table 3.3 Summary of Causes	. 22
Table 3.4Record of Seal Applicator Downtime	. 23
Table 3.5Causes of Machine Breakdown of Seal Applicator	. 24
Table 3.6Actual Time Study of Capping and Labeling Process	. 26
Table 3.7Relabeling Processing Time	. 27
Table 3.8 Readjusting of Cover Caps	. 28
Table 5.1 Specification of Required Film and the Existing Film	. 38
Table 5.2 Existing and Proposed Paper Label	. 47
Table 5.3 Paper for Labelling Supplier –SWOT Analysis	. 50
Table 5.4 Existing and Proposed Cart for Refiling Cover Caps	. 55
Table 5.5 Cart Supplier –SWOT Analysis	. 58



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1Summary of Profit Loss Computation	. 19
Figure 3.2 Process Flow Chart	. 21
Figure 3.3 Actual picture of Seal Applicator	. 25
Figure 5.1 Crumpled Film in Mandrel Part of Seal Applicator	. 38
Figure 5.2Other Products of Unilever with Aligned Labels	. 48
Figure 5.3Lady's Choice Mayo with Misaligned Labels	. 49
Figure 5.4Actual Labeling Machine of Line A	. 52
Figure 5.5Dimensions of Existing Cart Used in Refiling Cover Caps	. 56
Figure 5.6Proposed Cart Used in Refiling Cover Caps	57