

A Study on Minimizing the 9.74% Production Loss by 75.54% in the Die Clip Attach Area of Power Department in Producing SOT669-BUK of NXP SemiconductorsInc. amounting to PHP 14,347,716.60 from the months of June to November 2013

> A Practicum Study presented to the Faculty of the College of Engineering Architecture and Technology De La Salle University – Dasmariňas Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for theDegree in Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

> Submitted by: Lleno, Carlson Marc B. IEE51

Submitted to: Engr. Ma. Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

MARCH 2014



ABSTRACT

This practicum study aims to minimize the production loss by 75.54% in producing SOT669-BUK of NXP Semiconductors Inc. from the months of June to November 2013. The study is focused to eliminate the three causes of production loss namely; stubbornness of workers, frequent machine stop, and insufficient no. of equipment technician. This study also provides recommendations on how to handle such problems. First, provision of rules and regulations for disciplining a worker will eliminate the problem of stubborn workers. Next, allotting a fixed schedule for the weekly maintenance on all ASM E-Clips. Lastly, hiring additional equipment technicians in the die clip attach area will address the problem in the insufficient number equipment technician. Bv considering of the recommendations of the researcher, the company shall attain the optimal target output set through the demands of the target market.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	
APPROVAL SHEET	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
CHAPTER I – INTRODUCTION	
Background of the Study	2
Problem Statement	4
Objectives of the Study	4
Scope and Limitations	4
Significance of the Study	5
Design and Methodology	6
Definition of Terms	8
CHAPTER II – REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	10-18
CHAPTER III – DATA AND ANALYSIS	
Profit Loss	19
Computation	20



Flow Process Chart	22-24	
Time Study of Whole Process		
Fishbone Diagram	29	
Summary of Causes	30	
Summary of Units Loss due to Stubborn Workers	33	
List of Technician Operators	33	
ASM E-Clip Machine Specification	34	
Causes of Machine Stops and Frequency	35	
Cost Comparison: Three Causes of Machine Stop	36	
Summary of Unit loss due to Frequent Machine Stop	37	
Head Count Study	38	
Summary of Head Count Study	44	
Technician Operator Job Description	48	
Summary of Unit Loss due to Insufficient		
No. of Equipment Technician	49	
Problem Tree	50	
Objective Tree	54	
CHAPTER IV – ALTERNATIVE COURSES OF ACTION		
Proposed Rules and Regulations for Workers	57	
Sanction List for Absenteeism	63	
Sanction List for Simple Negligence	64	



Providing Weekly Maintenance in ASM E-Clip Machines	65
Cost Comparison: Existing PM vs Proposed PM	66
Proposed Preventive Maintenance Procedure	67
Proposed Maintenance Sheet	69
Proposed Hiring Additional Equipment Technician	70
Equipment Technician Job Specification	72
Cost – Benefit Analysis	73
CHAPTER V – CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
CHAPTER VI – DETAILED PLAN OF ACTION	
REFERENCES	
APPENDICES	
Certificates	82
Attachments (Pictures)	88
Curriculum Vitae	



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
1	Profit Loss from June to November 2013	19
2	SOT669-BUK Cost of Production	19
3	Top 3 Production Volume of Power Dept.	21
4	Process Flow of the Entire Process	23
5	Legend of Process Flow	25
6	Projected List of Processes and its Target Output	26
7	Total Time Study of Whole Process	27
7.1	Time Study of ASM E-Clip 1 Machine	28
7.2	Time Study of ASM E-Clip 2 Machine	28
7.3	Time Study of ASM E-Clip 3 Machine	28
7.4	Time Study of ASM E-Clip 4 Machine	28
8	Summary of Causes	30
9	Frequency of Absenteeism from June to November	31
10	Time Study of Solder Paste Change Process	32
11	Standard and Actual Time for Solder Paste Change	32
12	Summary of Unit Loss due to Stubborn Workers	33
13	List of Technician Operator	33
14	ASM E-Clip Machine Specification	34
15	Cause of Machine Stop and Frequency per Shift	35



16	Summary of Downtime Causes	36
17	Existing Preventive Maintenance	36
18	Cost Comparison: Machine Stop Causes	37
19	Unit Loss due to Frequent Machine Stop	37
20	Head Count Study for ASM E-Clip	
	(One Machine Downtime)	38
21	Head Count Study for ALPHASEM	
	(One Machine Downtime)	39
22	Head Count Study for ASM E-Clip	
	(Two Machine Downtime)	40
23	Head Count Study for ALPHASEM	
	(Two Machine Downtime)	41
24	Head Count Study for ASM E-Clip	
	(Three Machine Downtime)	42
25	Head Count Study for ASM E-Clip	
	(Three Machine Downtime)	43
26	Head Count Study Summary	
	(Three Machine Downtime)	44
27	Frequency of No Operator in A-Shift	45
28	Frequency of No Operator in B-Shift	46
29	Frequency of No Operator in C-Shift	47



30	Summary of Unit Loss due to Insufficient No. of	
	Equipment Technician	49
31	Cost Comparison: Existing PM vs Proposed PM	66
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure	Description	Page
1	Flow Process Chart	22
2	Fishbone Diagram	29
3	Problem Tree	50
4	Objective Tree	54
5	Sanction List for Absenteeism	63
6	Sanction List for Simple Negligence	64
7	Proposed Preventive Maintenance Procedure	67
8	Proposed Diebonder Maintenance Sheet	69
9	Sample of Equipment Technician Job	
	Specification	72

•