

A Study on Eliminating the 37.872% Production Loss in manufacturing ¼ litre
Welcoat AP small tin cans amounting to PHP 2,883.055.00 from the months of
June to November 2013

A Practicum Study presented to the Faculty of the
College of Engineering, Architecture and Technology
De La Salle University- Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas City, Cavite



In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

Submitted By:
CASTILLO, Kier S.
IEE51

Submitted To:
Engr. Ma. Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

March 2014



ABSTRACT

Time is as valuable as money, and every company has set their aim on cost-reduction through process engineering. In accordance to the matter, the company, Kane Industrial Corporation still experiences production loss rooting from productivity problems even beyond of its success as a top manufacturer of cans after 40 years. The problem as identified and analyzed by different methods used by the proponents proved to be rooted on the non-compliance to the set standards in both time and method – the operators work at a much slower pace than the desired pace, inefficient work instruction and working conditions as well as poor preventive maintenance, which in general is poor compliance to the application of time and methods study. Knowing these, the proponent made a study with the focal point of eliminating the average loss of 37.87% in the company's productivity, with data based on the past six months of production, June to November 2013. Reaching the conclusion of the study, the proponent has made several options, alternative courses of action to meet the standards obtained and to eliminate the recurring loss, which is based on the mix of theoretical and realistic application of time and method principles and guidelines.



Table of Contents

Title page.....	i
Approval Sheet.....	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables and Figures	viii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION.....	1
Company Profile.....	1
Background of the Study	2
Statement of the Problem	3
Objective of the Study	3
Scope and Limitation.....	4
Design and Methodology.....	5
Significance of the Study.....	7
Definition of Terms	9
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	11
The Product.....	11
The Canning Process	12
The Problem: Production Loss Defined	13
Overall Labor Effectiveness (OLE)	15
The Time and Motion Principle.....	17
Man-Related Contributions.....	18
Improving the Manufacturer's Methodologies	19



CHAPTER III: PRESENTATION OF DATA	21
Production Loss of KIC	21
Actual Monthly Production Record	22
Time Study Form For Flanging	23
Time Study Form For Bottom Seam	23
Time Study Form For Top Seam	24
Time Study Form For Packing	24
Process Flow Chart	25
Flow Process Chart	26
Establishing Standard Time	26
Contributors to the Production Loss	27
Machine Breakdowns	28
Poor Preventive Maintenance	29
Unnecessary Movements of Workers	29
Comparison of Actual Work to Standard	30
Absence of Packer in Line 10	31
Cramped Slide Plates	32
Slide Plate Space	33
Fishbone Diagram	34
CHAPTER IV: PROBLEM AND OBJECTIVE TREE	34
Problem Tree	34
Objective Tree	39
CHAPTER V: ALTERNATIVE COURSES OF ACTION	43
Strict Implementation Work Method and Sanctions	43
Improving the Preventive Maintenance Schedule	45
Widening of Slide Plates to Eliminate Stoppages	50
Use of Stack Boxes	51
Cost-Benefit Analyses	53



CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	55
Conclusion	55
Recommendation	56
CHAPTER VII: DETAILED PLAN OF ACTION	57
GANTT Chart.....	57
Bibliography	59
Appendices	60
Appendix A: Endorsement Letter for the On-the-Job-Training.....	61
Appendix B: Certification of On-the-Job-Training	62
Appendix C: Researcher’s Profile.....	63

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

Table 1: Production Loss of KIC	21
Table 2: Actual Monthly Production Record.....	22
Table 3: Time Study Form for Flanging	23
Table 4: Time Study Form for Bottom Seam	23
Table 5: Time Study Form for Top Seam.....	24
Table 6: Time Study Form for Packing	24
Table 7: Flow Process Chart	26
Table 5: Establishing Standard Time	26
Table 6: Contributors to the Production Loss.....	27
Table 7: Machine Breakdowns	28



Table 8: Poor Preventive Maintenance Schedule	29
Table 9: Unnecessary Movements of Workers	29
Table 10: Comparison of Actual Work to Standard.....	30
Table 10: Absence of Packer in Line 10	31
Table 11: Cramped Slide Plates	32
Table 12: Actual Work Method vs Standard Method	35
Table 13: Sanctions	43
Table 14: Improved Preventive Maintenance Schedule.....	47
Table 15: Comparison of PM Schedule	48
Table 16: Dimension of Slide Plates	50
Table 17: Dimensions of Tall Boxes	51
Table 18: Line Comparison Upon Implementation.....	52
Table 19: Cost-Benefit Analyses	53
Table 20: Gantt Chart	57

FIGURES

Figure 1: Process Flow Chart	25
Figure 2: Slide Plate Space	33
Figure 3: Fishbone Diagram	34
Figure 4: Problem Tree.....	34
Figure 5: Objective Tree	39
Figure 6: Standard Method Reminders.....	44
Figure 7: Seaming Rollers	46
Figure 8: Existing Slide Plates	50
Figure 9: Stack Boxes as Packaging Methodology.....	51