

"A Study on Meeting the Allowable 0.80% Reject Rate in the Production of Connectors (#1, #3, #3.1, #4) Amounting to Php 1,114,287.56 of Fravinz Enterprises Inc. from the Months of June 2013 to November 2013"

A Practicum Study Presented to the Faculty of the College of Engineering, Architecture and Technology De La Salle University – Dasmariñas Dasmariñas City, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering

Submitted by: Lagrama, Jamaica Iris T. IEE51

Submitted to: Engr. Maria Estrella Natalie B. Pineda

March 2014



ABSTRACT

Quality of every company's product makes a great role and impact in giving a good customer relationship and feedback as well as enhancing the company's credibility. In accordance with quality issues, Fravinz Enterprises Inc. beyond success still experiences losses in the connection with the quality of their products, particularly the Connectors. From the methods done by the proponent, it is noticed that incorrect pairing of parts in the assembly process due to no labelled storage bins, damaged pin stoppers, and worn out die punch in the Hydraulic Press Machine were encountered to be the root cause of 1.71% average reject rate of FEI. The researcher prepared several alternative courses of actions to minimize the said defects and meet the allowable reject rate of the company, such as; (1) Provide color-coded storage bins with labels in the assembly process, (2) Replacement of new pin stoppers in the machine four times a month (every 23,000 units produced), (3) Replacement of die punch in the Hydraulic Press Machine twice a month (every 50,000 units produced).



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE PAGE······i | |
|--|-----|
| APPROVAL SHEET······ii | i |
| ABSTRACTii | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTi | ٧ |
| Table of Contents | /i |
| LIST OF FIGURESv | /ii |
| LIST OF TABLESiz | X |
| CHAPTER I: THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND | |
| Introduction1 | |
| Background of the Study | 3 |
| Statement of the Problem | 1 |
| Objectives of the Study | 1 |
| Significance of the Study5 | |
| Scope and Limitation ———————————————————————————————————— | 3 |
| Methodology··································· | 3 |
| Methodology Definition of Terms CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 3 |
| CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | |
| Manufacturing Technology1 | 12 |
| Economics of Manufacturing1 | 13 |
| Mass Production1 | 14 |
| Metal Fabrication Process1 | 16 |
| Managing Quality in Roll Forming1 | 16 |
| The Material 1 | 18 |
| Tips for Managing Quality in Roll Forming1 | 19 |



| Quality Assurance | 19 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| CHAPTER III: PRESENTATION OF | GATHERED DATA |
| Summary of Total Rejects fro | m June to November 2013······21 |
| Total Production Loss in 6 mo | onths23 |
| Summary of Production Loss | Computation24 |
| Check Sheet······ | ······27 |
| Fishbone Diagram····· | 28 |
| Pareto Analysis ······ | 31 |
| Cost and Operation Details of | Connectors46 |
| Process Flow chart | 47 |
| CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS OF GATH | ERED DATA |
| Problem Tree······ | 52 |
| Objective Tree | 64 |
| CHAPTER V: ALTERNATIVE COUF | RSES OF ACTION |
| | 60 |
| Alternative Course of Action 2 | <u>2</u> 66 |
| Alternative Course of Action 3 | ······································ |
| Cost-Benefit Analysis | ······78 |
| CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSION AND | |
| Conclusion | ······79 |
| Recommendation | ······80 |
| CHAPTER VII: DETAILED PLAN OF | ACTION |
| Gantt Chart······ | 81 |
| Detailed Plan of Activities | 84 |



BIBLIOGRAPHY

| Books86 |
|---|
| Electronic Sources 87 |
| APPENDICES 88 |
| Approval Letter for On the Job Training 89 |
| Certification of On the Job Training Completion 91 |
| Researcher's Time Cards and ID93 |
| Pictures at Fravinz Enterprises Inc97 |
| Certificate of Proofreading100 |
| Proofreader's Credentials102 |
| Curriculum Vitae······105 |
| List of Figures |
| Figure 3.1: Image of Connector #326 |
| Figure 3.2: Fishbone Diagram28 |
| Figure 3.3: Pareto Chart 31 |
| Figure 3.4: Image of Unfitted Unit33 |
| Figure 3.5: Different Parts of Connectors 34 |
| Figure 3.6: Histogram (Out of Specs.)38 |
| Figure 3.7: Image of Out of Specs. |
| Figure 3.8: Specification and Dimension of Connector #340 |
| Figure 3.9: Histogram (Wrong Roll Formation)44 |
| Figure 3.10: Image of Wrong Roll Formation45 |
| Figure 3.11: Process Flow Chart47 |
| Figure 3.12: Actual Images of Connector #3 per Process48 |



| Figure 4.1: Existing Storage Bin in the Assembly Process53 |
|---|
| Figure 4.2: Sample Preventive Maintenance Checklist (Pin Stopper)71 |
| Figure 4.3: Sample Preventive Maintenance Checklist (Die Punch)75 |
| List of Tables |
| Table 3.1: Summary of Total Rejects (June to November 2013)21 |
| Table 3.2: Total Production Loss in 6 months 23 |
| Table 3.3: Summary of Production Loss Computation24 |
| Table 3.4: Comparison with other Products25 |
| Table 3.5: Check Sheet in Monthly Basis27 |
| Table 3.6: Fishbone Computation |
| Table 3.7: Pareto Analysis 31 |
| Table 3.8: Summary of Defects (Unfitted Units)32 |
| Table 3.9: Why-Why Analysis (Unfitted Units)33 |
| Table 3.10: Summary of Defects (Out of Specs.)35 |
| Table 3.11: Why-Why Analysis (Out of Specs.)35 |
| Table 3.12: Summary of Pin Stoppers Production Requirement36 |
| Table 3.13: Frequency of Pin Stoppers Replacement 37 |
| Table 3.14: Summary of Defect Quantity (Damaged Pin Stoppers)38 |
| Table 3.15: Summary of Defects (Wrong Roll Formation)41 |
| Table 3.16: Why-Why Analysis (Wrong Roll Formation)41 |
| Table 3.17: Summary of Die Punch Production Requirement42 |
| Table 3.18: Frequency of Die Punch Replacement43 |
| Table 3.19: Summary of Defect Quantity (Wrong Roll Formation)44 |



| Table 3.20: Cost and Operation Details of Connectors | 46 |
|--|----|
| Table 3.21: Cost Benefit Analysis······ | 78 |
| Table 5 1: Gantt Chart | 81 |

