

#### LEVEL OF SATISFACTION IN SCHOOL SUBSYSTEMS AND WORK ETHICS OF TEACHERS IN ST. SCHOLASTICA'S COLLEGE-MANILA

A Master's Thesis
Presented to
the Faculty of the Graduate School of Education, Arts and Sciences
De La Salle University – Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
Major in Educational Management

**ROSEMARIE C. MERCADO** 

**MARCH 2003** 

## AKLATANG EMILIO AGUINALDO ARCHIVES



#### **ABSTRACT**

Name of Institution:

De La Salle University-Dasmariñas

Address:

Dasmariñas, Cavite

Title:

Level of Satisfaction in School

Subsystems and Work Ethics of Teachers

in St. Scholastica's College-Manila

**Author:** 

Rosemarie C. Mercado

Degree:

Master of Arts in Education Major in

Educational Management

**Date Started:** 

October 2001

**Date Completed:** 

March 2003

#### STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

The study investigated the relationship between the level of satisfaction and work ethics of teachers in St. Scholastica's College at Leon Guinto, Manila, school year 2001-2002.

The study attempted to answer the following queries:

- 1. What is the profile of the Preschool and Grade School teachers of St. Scholastica's College in terms of age, gender, years of teaching experience in school and educational attainment?
- 2. What are the levels of satisfaction of the Preschool and Grade School teachers in the three subsystems (social, cultural, economic)?



- 3. Are there differences in the levels of satisfaction in the three subsystems when the Preschool and Grade School teachers are grouped according to age, gender, years of teaching experience and educational attainment?
- 4. What are the work ethics of the Preschool and Grade School teachers?
- 5. Are there differences in the work ethics when the Preschool and Grade School teachers are grouped according to age, gender, years of teaching experience and educational attainment?
- 6. Is there a relationship between the level of satisfaction in school subsystems and work ethics of teachers in St. Scholastica's College Manila?

#### SCOPE AND COVERAGE:

Eighty-eight or 85.44 per cent of the total number of faculty employed in both Preschool and Grade School Departments of St. Scholastica's College-Manila, school year 2001-2002, were the respondents in this study.

#### METHODOLOGY:

Descriptive method was used in this study to draw out the relationship of demographic variables such as age, gender, years of teaching experience, and educational attainment to the level of



satisfaction in school subsystem and work ethics of teachers in St. Scholastica's College- Manila.

#### **MAJOR FINDINGS:**

- 1. Level of Satisfaction of the Respondents on School Subsystems
- 1.1 Social Subsystem- Sixty-five or 73.86 per cent of the respondents were highly satisfied with the social subsystem of their school. There were 21 or 23.86 per cent who were moderately satisfied and only 2 of the respondents or 2.27 per cent were fairly satisfied with the social subsystem of their school. Social subsystem ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> in terms of the level of satisfaction in the school subsystem of the teachers in St. Scholastica's College-Manila.
- 1.2 Cultural Subsystem- Seventy of the respondents or 79.54 per cent were highly satisfied and 17 or 19.32 per cent were moderately satisfied while only one out of 88 respondents or 1.14 per cent was fairly satisfied. Cultural Subsystem obtained the highest percentage of the respondents who were highly satisfied and the lowest percentage of the respondents who were fairly satisfied.
- 1.3 Economic Subsystem- There were 56 respondents or 63.64 per cent who were highly satisfied. One-third of the



respondents, which is equivalent to 29 respondents or 32.95 per cent were moderately satisfied. There were three respondents or 3.41 per cent who were fairly satisfied with the economic subsystem. Economic subsystem obtained the lowest percentage of the respondents who were highly satisfied, the highest percentage of the respondents who were fairly satisfied among the three subsystems and obtained the lowest computed grouped mean.

- 2. Comparisons of the Level of Satisfaction of the Respondents when grouped according to:
- 2.1 Age- Age was not related to the level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of the teachers in St. Scholastica's College-Manila. The respondents, whether young or old, had the same level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school.
- 2.2 Gender- Gender was not related to the level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school. The respondents, whether male or female, had the same level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school.
- 2.3 Years of Teaching Experiences- Teaching experience was not related to the level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school. The respondents regardless of years of teaching



experience had the same level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school.

2.4 Educational Attainment- Degree earned was not related to the level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school. The respondents, regardless of their educational attainment, had the same level of satisfaction on the three subsystems of their school.

#### 3. Work Ethics of the Respondents

The 10 subscales namely: (a) environmental, (b) familial, (c) intellectual/achievement-oriented, (d) interpersonal, (e) managerial, (f) material, (g) occupational, (h) organizational, (i) religious, (j) variety work ethics were all rated by the respondents as very important and they were homogeneous in their ratings.

In terms of percentage, material and occupational subscales both ranked first, having 96.59 per cent or 85 respondents who valued these subscales as very important. On the other hand, the Managerial subscale ranked 10<sup>th</sup> having 61.36 per cent or 54 of the respondents who regarded this subscale very important, 31 or 35.23 per cent regarded this subscale important, and three or 3.41 per cent regarded this as Neutral.



- 4. Comparisons of Work Ethics of the Respondents when grouped according to:
- 4.1 Age- Young or old, the teachers of St. Scholastica's College had the same level of work ethics.
- 4.2 Gender- Gender was not related to the work ethics of the 10 subscales. The respondents, regardless of gender had the same level of work ethics on the 10 subscales.
- 4.3 Years of Teaching Experience- Teaching experience was not related to the work ethics of the respondents on the ten subscales. The respondents, regardless of years of teaching experience had the same work ethics on all the subscales.
- 4.4 Educational Attainment- Educational attainment was not related to the Work Ethics of the respondents.
- 5. Level of satisfaction of the respondents on school subsystems and work ethics

Level of satisfaction of the respondents on the three subsystems was not related to their work ethics. The respondents, whether highly positive or moderately positive with their work ethics, had the same level of satisfaction.



#### **CONCLUSIONS:**

Based on the findings of this investigation the following conclusions were drawn:

1. Majority of the respondents were relatively young in age and in service.

Majority of the teachers in St. Scholastica's College-Manila were female. Teaching profession seems less attractive to the male sector.

Majority of the respondents have master's units.

- 2. The respondents were highly satisfied on all the subsystems namely, social subsystem, cultural subsystem and economic subsystem. As computed, their mean level of satisfaction in the cultural subsystem was the highest among the three and closely followed by the social subsystem.
- 3. These teachers had the same level of satisfaction regardless of gender, age, educational attainment, and years of teaching experience. It only showed that the respondents were highly satisfied with the way St. Scholastica's College-Manila takes care of their social, cultural and economic needs.
- 74. Teachers in St. Scholastica's College-Manila valued the ten subscales as indicated in Filipino Work Values Scale (FWVS) very



importantly. It only showed that the teachers were well-rounded, treating all subscales as very important.

- 5. The teachers had the same work ethics regardless of gender, age, educational attainment, and years of teaching experience. It only showed that the respondents highly valued work ethics.
- 6. The teachers at St. Scholastica's College-Manila were highly satisfied on the subsystems of their school and at the same time they have been practicing, highly positive work ethics.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

In the light of the findings and conclusions drawn, the following are strongly recommended:

1. Administrators should consider hiring more male teachers.

Administrators should provide programs that will deepen their loyalty to the school.

Administrators should continue encouraging and supporting their teachers who are currently enrolled in and those who will take graduate studies in the future. Administrators should strengthen faculty development programs.

2. Educational institutions that have attained high level of job satisfaction among their teachers should strive to maintain such level and should try to improve on such whenever possible.



- 3. Institutions like SSC-Manila whose teachers have attained high level of job satisfaction regardless of age, gender, years of teaching experience, and educational attainment should continue on developing programs/activities and providing an atmosphere that would enhance such satisfaction for all ages, whether male or female, and whether old or new in the school.
- 4. For work ethics, Administrators should continue getting suggestions from their teachers to make the school a more conducive work place.

Social activities where teachers can bring along immediate members of their families could be organized. The administrators could also carry out a Search for Outstanding Family.

Administrators should continue providing more opportunities to teachers for independent thinking in the exercise of their profession so that they will have a sense of fulfillment in doing their work well and more activities that will further enhance and nourish leadership potentials.

Administrators should design more socialization activities so that healthy interactions among the teachers could also be enhanced. Faculty members should be encouraged to help the administrators by giving suggestions through their Faculty club.



There should be more opportunities to improve the skills, direct and implement tasks. Faculty members should also be made more aware of their importance as members of the school community. Faculty should voluntarily be involved in planning and implementing school activities.

More incentives such as recognition, awards, merits, and promotions should be given to teachers.

Administrators of SSC-Manila should continue to allow and support their teachers who are conducting lectures, seminars and similar professional activities.

More activities that will foster openness and helpfulness among members should be organized.

Administrators should deepen their programs concerning faith and religion.

Rotation in handling different grade levels, subject assignments whenever possible should be continued since variation of tasks is very important to the teachers.

5. Institutions like SSC-Manila whose teachers regardless of age, gender, years of teaching experience, and educational attainment value work ethics very importantly should continue on developing programs/activities and providing an atmosphere that would enhance such



work ethics for all ages, whether male or female, and whether old or new in the school.

'6. Administrators should maintain the good quality of their subsystems and at the same time should also maintain high work ethics.





#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
TITLE PAGE	1
ABSTRACT	2
APPROVAL SHEET	13
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	14
TABLE OF CONTENTS	1.7
LIST OF TABLES	20
FIGURE	28
CHAPTER	:
1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND	29
Introduction	29
Theoretical Framework	34
Statement of the Problem	35
Assumption	36
Hypotheses	36
Scope and Delimitation	37
Significance of the Study	38
Definition of Terms	40
2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	46
Conceptual Literature	46

		De La Salle University – Dasmariñas  GRADUATE PROGRAM		
		Research Literature	56	
		Synthesis	64	
	3	METHODOLOGY	<b>67</b> .	
·		Research Design	67	
	· . !	Respondents of the Study	68	
		Research Instruments	69	
a		Validation of the Instruments	75	
		Data Gathering Procedure	77	
		Statistical Treatment	77	
	4	PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION		
٠		OF DATA		
•		Problem No. 1	80	
		Problem No. 2	86	
		Problem No. 3	92	i
		Problem No. 4	115	
		Problem No. 5	130	
.·		Problem No. 6	188	
	5	. SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	S	
		Summary	194	
		Conclusions	204	
		Recommendations	205	ļ
	4			



	OZE BUILD I ROÇIG H	<u> </u>
REFER	ENCES	209
APPEN	DICES	215
	A. Letters of Requests	216
	B. The Instrument	219
• •	C. Curriculum Vitae	224





#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
-1	Profile of the Respondents according to Age	80 🔍
2	Profile of the Respondents according to Gender	82
3	Profile of the Respondents according to Years	83
	of Teaching Experience	
4	Profile of the Respondents according to Educational	85
,	Attainment	
5	Level of Satisfaction of the Respondents on the	86
	Social Subsystem	•
6	Level of Satisfaction of the Respondents on the	88
·	Cultural Subsystem	
7	Level of Satisfaction of the Respondents on the	89
	Economic Subsystem	
8	Summary Table of the Level of Satisfaction of the	90
•	Respondents on the Three Subsystems	· .
9	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Social	94
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to Age	. !
10	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Cultural	95
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to Age	
11	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Economic	96



	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to Age	
12	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Social	99
	Subsystem) of the Respondents between Male	
	and Female	
13	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Cultural	100
	Subsystem) of the Respondents between Male	
į.	and Female	
14	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Economic	102
·	Subsystem) of the Respondents between Male	
	and Female	į
15	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Social	104
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	
	Years of Teaching Experience in the School	
16	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Cultural	106
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	1 1 - -
	Years of Teaching Experience in the School	
17	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Economic	107
•	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	
	Years of Teaching Experience in the School	
18	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Social	110
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	•

# AKLATANG EMILIO AGUINALDO ARCHIVES



ļ	Educational Attainment	
19	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Cultural	111
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	
	Educational Attainment	
20	Comparison of the Level of Satisfaction (Economic	113
	Subsystem) of the Respondents according to	
	Educational Attainment	
21	Environmental Work Ethics	115
22	Familial Work Ethics	117
23	Intellectual/ Achievement-Oriented Work Ethics	118
24	Interpersonal Work Ethics	120
25	Managerial Work Ethics	122
26	Material Work Ethics	124
27	Occupational Work Ethics	125
28	Organizational Work Ethics	126
29	Religious Work Ethics	128
30	Variety Work Ethics	129
31	Comparison of Work Ethics (Environmental Subscale)	131
•	of the Respondents according to Age	
32	Comparison of Work Ethics (Familial Subscale)	132
	of the Respondents according to Age	**,

	De La Salle University – Dasmariñas GRADUATE PROGRAM	
33	Comparison of Work Ethics (Intellectual/Achievement-	133
	Oriented Subscale) of the Respondents according	
	to Age	
34	Comparison of Work Ethics (Interpersonal Subscale)	134
	of the Respondents according to Age	
35	Comparison of Work Ethics (Managerial Subscale)	136
	of the Respondents according to Age	
36	Comparison of Work Ethics (Material Subscale)	137
	of the Respond <mark>ents</mark> according to Age	
37	Comparison of Work Ethics (Occupational Subscale)	138
	of the Respondents according to Age	
38	Comparison of Work Ethics (Organizational Subscale)	139
	of the Respondents according to Age	
39	Comparison of Work Ethics (Religious Subscale)	140
	of the Respondents according to Age	
40	Comparison of Work Ethics (Variety Subscale)	141
	of the Respondents according to Age	•
41	Comparison of Work Ethics (Environmental Subscale)	144
	of the Respondents according to Gender	,
42	Comparison of Work Ethics (Familial Subscale)	145
:	of the Respondents according to Gender	
,		

_				
		De La Salle University – Dasmariñas GRADUATE PROGRAM	·	
	43	Comparison of Work Ethics (Intellectual/Achievement-	147	
•		Oriented Subscale) of the Respondents according		
		to Gender		
	44	Comparison of Work Ethics (Interpersonal Subscale)	148	
		of the Respondents according to Gender	į	
	45	Comparison of Work Ethics (Managerial Subscale)	150	
		of the Respondents according to Gender		
	46	Comparison of Work Ethics (Material Subscale)	152	
		of the Respondents according to Gender	:	
	47	Comparison of Work Ethics (Occupational Subscale)	153	
		of the Respondents according to Gender		
	48	Comparison of Work Ethics (Organizational Subscale)	155	
		of the Respondents according to Gender		
	49	Comparison of Work Ethics (Religious Subscale)	156	
		of the Respondents according to Gender		
	50	Comparison of Work Ethics (Variety Subscale)	158	
		of the Respondents according to Gender	<b>!</b>	
	51	Comparison of Work Ethics (Environmental Subscale)	159	
		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching		
		Experience in the School		
	52	Comparison of Work Ethics (Familial Subscale)	161	
				ļ.

		De La Salle University – Dasmariñas GRADUATE PROGRAM	•
		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	1
		Experience in the School	!
	53	Comparison of Work Ethics (Intellectual/Achievement-	162
·		Subscale) of the Respondents according to Years	
'		of Teaching Experience in the School	
	54	Comparison of Work Ethics (Interpersonal Subscale)	164
y 1		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	<u>!</u>
•		Experience in the School	. ' '
	55	Comparison of Work Ethics (Managerial Subscale)	165
		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
		Experience in the School	
	56	Comparison of Work Ethics (Material Subscale)	166
	•	of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
:		Experience in the School	
,	57	Comparison of Work Ethics (Occupational Subscale)	168
		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
		Experience in the School	
	58	Comparison of Work Ethics (Organizational Subscale)	169
		of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
		Experience in the School	
	59	Comparison of Work Ethics (Religious Subscale)	171
		·	



	of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
	Experience in the School	
60	Comparison of Work Ethics (Variety Subscale)	172
•	of the Respondents according to Years of Teaching	
	Experience in the School	1
61	Comparison of Work Ethics (Environmental Subscale)	174
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment	nt
62	Comparison of Work Ethics (Familial Subscale)	175
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainmen	nt
63	Comparison of Work Ethics (Intellectual/Achievement-	177
	Oriented Subscale) of the Respondents according	
1	to Educational Attainment	
64	Comparison of Work Ethics (Interpersonal Subscale)	178
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainmen	nt .
65	Comparison of Work Ethics (Managerial Subscale)	180
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment	nt
66	Comparison of Work Ethics (Material Subscale)	181
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment	nt :
67	Comparison of Work Ethics (Occupational Subscale)	182
	of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment	nt
68	Comparison of Work Ethics (Organizational Subscale)	184



of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment Comparison of Work Ethics (Religious Subscale) 69 185 of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment Comparison of Work Ethics (Variety Subscale) 70 186 of the Respondents according to Educational Attainment Comparison of Work Ethics of the Respondents 71 189 according to the Level of Satisfaction on Social Subsystem 72 Comparison of Work Ethics of the Respondents 190 according to the Level of Satisfaction in Cultural Subsystem 73 Comparison of Work Ethics of the Respondents 192 according to the Level of Satisfaction in Economic Subsystem



#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Concentual Paradiam	24

