

**CONTENT ANALYSIS OF THE FACULTY EVALUATION
INSTRUMENT OF THE COLLEGE OF MEDICAL
RADIATION TECHNOLOGY, DE LA SALLE
HEALTH SCIENCES CAMPUS**

**A Master's Thesis
Presented to
the Faculty of the Graduate School of Education, Arts and Sciences
De La Salle University – Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas, Cavite**

**In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
Major in Educational Management**

CHEYEN E. MOLON

October 2005

ABSTRACT

Name of Institution : De La Salle University – Dasmariñas

Address : Dasmariñas, Cavite

Title : **CONTENT ANALYSIS OF THE
FACULTY EVALUATION
INSTRUMENT OF THE COLLEGE
OF MEDICAL RADIATION
TECHNOLOGY, DE LA SALLE
HEALTH SCIENCES CAMPUS**

Author : Cheyen E. Molon

Degree : Master of Arts in Education

Major : Educational Management

This is a descriptive research study conducted at the College of Medical Radiation Technology (CMRT) of De La Salle – Health Sciences Campus (DLS - HSC) in Dasmariñas, Cavite. It made use of content analysis to evaluate the adequateness of the evaluation instrument used in gauging the teaching performance of the faculty members of the College of Medical Radiation Technology. The conceptual framework evolved on the Stufflebeam’s CIPP Model for the analysis of the existing faculty evaluation instrument.

The sources of data came primarily from the responses of the administrator, faculty members and students of the CMRT.

The findings revealed that there were enough bases to change the existing faculty evaluation instrument. Five items were retained, 19 items were modified, 47 items were added, and 10 items were deleted.

All items in the revised instrument were subjected to the test of reliability and validity. The test revealed that all items in the revised faculty evaluation instrument were reliable and internally consistent.

The study concludes that the existing faculty evaluation instrument needs to be improved as evidenced by the items that were retained, modified, added and deleted based on the content analysis of the three groups of respondents. Furthermore, all the items in the 7 areas indicated in the proposed faculty evaluation instrument were reliable and internally consistent.

The results of the study could highly contribute to the CMRT based on the consistencies that have been observed in the proposed faculty evaluation instrument as to its usefulness, comprehensiveness, clarity of items and adequacy of the instrument in gauging the teaching competency of the faculty members' performance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	1
ABSTRACT	2
APPROVAL SHEET	4
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS	8
LIST OF TABLES	10
LIST OF FIGURES	14
CHAPTER	
1	THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND
	Introduction
	Conceptual Framework
	Statement of the Problem
	Assumptions of the Study
	Scope and Delimitation of the Study
	Significance of the Study
	Definition of Terms
2	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE
	Conceptual Literature
	Research Literature
	Synthesis

3	METHODOLOGY	
	Research Design	34
	Respondents of the Study	34
	Research Instrument	35
	Data Gathering Procedure	36
	Statistical Treatment of Data	37
4	PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA	40
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	Summary	97
	Findings	98
	Conclusions	99
	Recommendations	99
	REFERENCES	100
	APPENDICES	
	A. Letter of Request	107
	B. Certificate of Attendance	108
	C. Certificate of Appreciation	109
	D. Existing Faculty Evaluation Instrument	110
	E. Proposed Faculty Evaluation Instrument	114
	F. Curriculum Vitae	118

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	The Retained Items	41
2	The Modified Items	43
3	The Added Items	46
4	The Deleted Items	50
5	The Degree of Reliability of the Pre-test Scores in Terms of Methods and Strategy of Teaching	52
6	The Degree of Reliability of the Pre-test Scores in Terms of Mastery of Subject Matter	54
7	The Degree of Reliability of the Pre-test Scores in Terms of Communication Skills	56
8	The Degree of Reliability of the Pretest Scores in Terms of Classroom Management	58
9	The Degree of Reliability of the Pretest Scores in Terms of Personal Traits	60
10	The Degree of Reliability of the Pretest Scores in Terms of Student Assessment	62

11	The Degree of Reliability of the Pretest Scores in Terms of Laboratory	64
12	Degree of Reliability Pretest Result: In General	65
13	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Methods and Strategy of Teaching	67
14	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Mastery of Subject Matter	69
15	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Communication Skills	71
16	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Classroom Management	73
17	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Personal Traits	75
18	The Degree of Reliability of the Post Test Scores in Terms of Student Assessment	77
19	The Degree of Reliability of the Pre-Test Scores in Terms of Laboratory	79
20	Degree of Reliability Post Test Result: In General	80

21	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores in Terms of Methods and Strategy of Teaching	82
22	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post test Scores in Terms of Mastery of Subject Matter	84
23	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post Test Scores in Terms of Communication Skills	86
24	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post Test Scores in Terms of Classroom Management	88
25	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post Test Scores in Terms of Personal Traits	90
26	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post Test Scores in Terms of Student Assessment	92
27	The Level of Internal Consistency of the Pretest and Post Test Scores in Terms of Laboratory	94

28 The level of Internal Consistency
Between the Pre-test and Post test in
General 96



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	The Paradigm of the Study	20
2	Evaluators of the Existing Faculty Evaluation Instrument	35

