CLASSROOM SEATING PREFERENCE
AND ITS CORRELATES

by
Jeannie Chua
Margaret Ong

Submitted to the Faculty of
College of Liberal Arts
De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the

Degree of Bachelor of Arts in Psychology

College of Liberal Arts o

De La Salle University

Taft Avenue, Manila

1984





ABSTRACT

This study aimed to examine if there is a significant relationship between classroom seating preference of DLSU students with three specific variables, namely, academic performance, liking for the teacher and Cattell's 16 personality factor. Classroom seating preferences were divided into action and non-action seats based on the studies done by Sommer, 1967 and Adam, 1969. dents of this study were DLSU students, second and third year students belonging to the College of Liberal Arts and College of Business and Economics who were enrolled during the third trimester of schoolyear 1983-1984 when this study was conducted. There was a total of 202 students who participated out of eight chosen classes. Of these 98 students actually sat in their preferred non-action seats while 104 students actually sat in their preferred action seats. The data were gathered by using the following: 1) academic performance, measured in terms of the cumulative GPA or students as of the first trimester of schoolyear 1983-1904 which were copied from the Business Office; liking for the teacher, measured by the sum of scores from a self-constructed Likert-type questionaaire with 11 statements; 3) person lity trains, measured by Cattell's The results of the study were subjected to 16 PF Test. statistical analysis using the point-biserial correlation coefficient and t-test of significance of Significant relationship were obtained at p < .05 between: 1) classroom seating preference and academic performance (r= 0.525, 6=8.724) 2) classroom seating preference and liking for the teacher (r=0.279, t=4.109) 3) classroom seating preference and each of the six personality factors, mamely: Factor A (r= 0.357, t= 5.405), Factor L (r= 0.155, t= 2.219), Factor M (r= 0.420, t= 6.545), Factor N (r= 0.311, t=4.628), Factor Q_2 (r= 0.364, t=5.527) and Factor Q_3 (r= 0.276, t= 4.661). From these results, the researchers have arrived at the following conclusions: 1) classroom seating preference is significantly related to 2) classroom seating students' academic performance preference is significantly related to students' liking for the teacher 3) classroom seating preference is significaltly related to each of the six personality factors, namely, Factor A, Factor L, Factor M, Factor N, Factor Q, and Factor Qz.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
AJSTRA	CT .		
Chapte	r		
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	
	1.1	Background of the Study	1
	k. 2	Statement of the Problem	5
	1.3	Hypotheses	5
	1.4	Definition of Terms	6
	1.5	Significance of the Study Q	9
	1.6	Scope and Limitations of the Study	12
2.	REVI	EW OF RELATED LITTRATURE	14
	2.1	Classroom Structure	14
	2.2	Classroom Cormunication	16
	2.3	Perception of Students Toward Fellow Students and Teachers	20
	2.4	Teachers! Perception Toward Students	21
	2.5	Physical and Social Distance	22
	2.6	Personality Traits and Seating Preferences	24
	2.7	Seat Location and Participation	29
	2.8	Seat Location and Grade	31.
3.	MRTH	IODOLOGY	35
	3.1	Research Design	3 5
	3.2	Population and Sample	÷3.5

	DE'	LA	SAI	LE	UNI	VER	SITY
_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Chapter	Pag	e
3.2.1 Population		5
3.2.2 Sample		6
3.3 Research Instrumentation .		7
3.4 Pre-tast Procedures and Res	ults3	9
3.5 Procedures for Actual Study	4	1
3.6 Data Reduction	4	2
3.7 Data Analysis		4
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5、
4.1 Results		5
4.2 Discussion	4	7
4.2.1 Academic corformance		8
4.2.2 Liking for the Teach	er	9
4.2.3 Cattell's 16 Persona Factors		;1
5. SUMMARY, CONJUSION AND RECOMMEN	DATION . 5	8
5.1 Summary	• • • •	58
5.2 Conclusion		59
5.3 Recommendations		50
REFERENCES	• • •	54
APPENDIX 1: Pre-Test Questionnaire	• • • •	.7
APPENDIX 2: Final Questionnaire		59
APPENDIX 3: Sample Answer Sheet o. 16 P.	F Test . 7	72
APPENDIX 4: Description and Definition 16 Factors of Fersonality	of the	73
APPENDIX 5A: Raw Scores and Computation	s of	ارد د وقی د مدر یا

		Academic Performance	a ge 80
APPENDIX	5B:	Computations of Liking for the Teacher	82
AFPENDIX	5C:	Computations of the 16 Personality Factors	83
APPENDIX	5D:	Sum ary Table of 16 Personality Factors	91

