


**PERFORMANCE OF GRADE FOUR PUPILS IN READING
USING ANIMATED MATERIALS**



A Master's Thesis
Presented to
the Faculty of the
College of Education Graduate Studies
De La Salle University-Dasmariñas
Dasmariñas, Cavite

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts in Education
Major in Educational Management

JULIET A. CASTILLO

March 2010

ABSTRACT

Title of the Research: **PERFORMANCE OF GRADE FOUR PUPILS IN
READING USING ANIMATED MATERIALS**

Author: **JULIET A. CASTILLO**

Degree: **Master of Arts in Education**

Major: **Educational Management**

Date of Completion: **March, 2010**

This descriptive study was conducted to assess the performance of grade four pupils in reading using animated materials for one group (experimental group) and the traditional method for the control group. This study analyzed the performance of grade four- integrity pupils of San Nicolas Elementary School using the Quasi- experimental design. There were 50 grade four pupils who served as the participants of the study.

The statistical tools used in the study were mean, standard deviation, t- test for uncorrelated samples and t-test for correlated samples. It utilized the Philippine Informal Reading Inventory (Phil- IRI) to analyzed the pupils- participants' performance.

Findings from the study showed that the animated stories yielded a significant positive difference for the group taught with the multimedia over the group taught in the traditional way.

The study concludes that the group who used animated materials in reading achieved better than did the group taught traditionally.

This present study thereby recommends that Reading teachers should complement the traditional approach of teaching with powerpoint presentation instruction to develop further the skills of the pupils in the aforesaid learning area and to prepare them for other topics in reading. Teacher should look for more stories to be presented in animation to arouse the interest of pupils in reading. And each teacher should prepare stories for animation and put together these stories into one presentation and should be used in other subjects like Sibika at Kultura and Character Education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| TITLE PAGE | 1 |
| ABSTRACT | 2 |
| APPROVAL SHEET | 6 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | 7 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 9 |
| LIST OF TABLES/TABLE | 12 |
| LIST OF FIGURES/FIGURE | 13 |
| Chapter | |
| 1 THE PROBLEM AND ITS BACKGROUND | |
| Introduction | 14 |
| Theoretical Framework/Conceptual Framework | 19 |
| Statement of the Problem | 23 |
| Hypothesis | 24 |
| Scope and Delimitation of the Study | 25 |
| Significance of the Study | 25 |
| Definition of Terms | 26 |
| 2 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | |
| Conceptual Literature | 28 |
| Research Literature | 37 |
| Synthesis | 39 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3 METHODOLOGY | |
| Research Design | 40 |
| Population and Sampling | 41 |
| Respondents of the Study | 41 |
| Research Instrument | 43 |
| Data Gathering Procedure | 44 |
| Statistical Treatment of Data | 46 |
| 4 PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA | |
| Problem No. 1 | 48 |
| Problem No. 2 | 49 |
| Problem No. 3 | 49 |
| Problem No. 4 | 51 |
| Problem No. 5 | 52 |
| Problem No. 6 | 54 |
| Problem No. 7 | 57 |
| 5 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| Summary | 59 |
| Findings | 60 |
| Conclusions | 63 |
| Recommendations | 63 |
| REFERENCES | 65 |

APPENDICES

| | | |
|---|---------------------------|----|
| A | Letter of Permit | 68 |
| B | The Instrument (Pretest) | 70 |
| C | The Instrument (Posttest) | 80 |
| D | Curriculum Vitae | 90 |



LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | | PAGE |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Distribution of Respondents | 42 |
| 2 | Level of the Pupil Respondents in Phil. IRI in the Pre-test as to Reading Comprehension | 42 |
| 3 | Mean Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group in the Pre-tests in Reading | 48 |
| 4 | Comparison of the Mean Scores of Experimental Group and the Control Group During the Pretest | 49 |
| 5 | Mean Scores of the Experimental Group and the Control Group in the Posttest in Reading | 51 |
| 6 | Comparison of the Pretest and Posttest Mean Scores of the Experimental Group | 52 |
| 7 | Comparison of the Pretest and Posttest Mean Scores of the Control Group | 53 |
| 8 | Comparison of the Posttest Mean Scores of Both the Experimental Group and the Control Group in Reading | 55 |
| 9 | Level of Proficiency in Reading of Both Groups in Terms of the Mean Scores in the Posttest | 55 |
| 10 | Level of the Pupil Respondents in Phil IRI in the Pre-test and Posttest in Reading Comprehension | 56 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|-----------------------|------|
| 1 | Paradigm of the Study | 21 |

