THE MORAL DEVELOPMENT STAGES OF SELECTED
FILIPINO COLLEGE STUDENTS ACCORDING
TO SEX AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of the Behavioral Sciences Department

De La Salle University

In Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements for the Degree

Bachelor of Arts

Major in Psychology

by

Jesamyn B. Epistola Jocelyn Marie B. Fallarme





ABSTRACT

This research is a descriptive study to investigate the dominant moral developmental stage and if there is a significant difference on the said stages of selected Filipino male and female Liberal Arts students according to sex and socio-economic status. DLSU, UST and PCU were chosen to represent the elite, upper middle class and lower middle class respectively. The amount of allowance per day was taken into consideration to strengthen the measure of socio-economic status. Defining Issues Test (DIT) facilitated in gathering data. These acquired data were subjected to two statistical treatments: 1) the average weighted mean scores and 2) two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). In the weighted mean scores, results show that the dominant stage score was Stage 4 which is the Authority Maintaining Morality In the ANOVA at .05 level of significance, findings revealed that there is no significant difference in the moral developmental stages of respondents based on their sex and socio-economic status. In the interaction of these two variables, findings likewise show that there is no significant difference in the moral developmental stage of the respondents.



			T	ABLE	OF	, CC	NTI	INE	S					7147
ACKNOWI	LEDGME	nts			•	• •	•	•	•	• ·	•	•	•	PAGE i
ABSTRAC	er .	• •	• •		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
Chapter	: ,													
1.	INTRO	DUCTI	ON	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	.•	
	1.1	Backe	roui	nd c	of t	he	Stı	ıdy	-	•	•	•	•	1
1	1.2	State	men	t of	th	e I	?rol	ble	m	•	•	•	•	3
ı	1.3	Hypot	hes	es	•	•	WA//	01/	200	•	•	•	•	4
	1.4	Theor	eti	cal	Fre	amev	vorl	κ		4	<u> </u>			5
	1.5	Defir	iti	on c	of I	ern	ns		•	•		•	•	10
	1.6	Scope	and	l Li	Lmit	ati	Lon	S	•	8	•	•	•	12
	1.7	Signi	fica	ance	e of	tł	ne s	štu	ıdy	•	• 7	•,	•	14
2.	Review of Related Literature													
	2.1	Evide Invai								•	Phillips	•	•	16
	2.2	Evide Compo Prefe	eher	nsic			ng •	mari	Mas.	•	•	•	•	19
	2.3	Unive Stage		lity	y of	f ,		•	•	•	•	•	•	21
	2.4	Sex I	ìff	erer	nce	3		•		•	•	•	•	24
	2.5	Socio Stati		onor	nic	,		•	•	•	•	•	•	25
	2.6	Moral and	l ju nora	dgme	ent eha	vio:	r.	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
	2.7	Phil: to Mo						el:	ate	ed •	•	•	•	27 /



ז	וו וווינדיות נו	ODOLOGY		Page									
3•	METHODOLOGY												
	3.1	Research Besign		30									
,	3.2	Samples and Sampling Procedure • • • • • • • •	•	30									
	∕-3•3	Instrument	• •	33									
	3.4	Procedure	•	35									
·	3.5	Statistical Treatment	•	37									
4.	RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSION	•	38									
5.	Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations												
	5.1	Summary		49									
	5.2	Conclusions	•	50									
مون	5.3	Recommendations	•	50									
Apr	endic	ees · · · ·	•	5 5									
Ref	erend	ees		52									

