PRELIMINARY FEEDBACK FROM SELECTED COMPANIES

REGARDING WORK ENTRY AND WORK SKILLS of

LA SALLE AND NON-LA SALLE GRADUATES

In Partial Fulfillment of the Course Requirements for a degree in Psychology

A Thesis
Presented to the Faculty
of the Behavioral Sciences Department

by

Edlynn Frondoso Michael Go Anna Marie Villanueva

April 1989



2

DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	of the Study		
ABSTI	RACT TER 1 Background of the Study 1 Statement of the Problem 4 Statement of the Research Hypotheses 5 Conceptual Framework 6 Assumptions 10 Definition of Terms 11 Significance of the Study 18 TER 2 Review of Related Literature 22 TER 3 Research Design 34 Respondents and Sampling Design 35			
ABSTRACT CHAPTER 1 Background of the Study 1 Statement of the Problem 4 Statement of the Research Hypotheses 5 Conceptual Framework 6 Assumptions 10 Definition of Terms 11				
	Background of the Study	1		
	Statement of the Problem	4		
	Statement of the Research Hypotheses	5		
	Conceptual Framework	6		
	Assumptions	10		
	Definition of Terms	11		
	Significance of the Study	18		
CHAPTER 2				
	Review of Related Literature	22 .		
CHAPTER 3				
•	Research Design	34		
	Respondents and Sampling Design	35.		
	Instrumentation			
	Procedure	47		
	Plan for Data Analysis	49		
CHAP	TER 4			
	Results and Analysis	53		
CHAPTER 5				
	Summary	80		
	Conclusions	83		
	Recommendations	84		



BIBLIOGRAPHY85	5
APPENDIX A: Fopulation, and Sample	7
APPENDIX B : Work Entry Questionnaire 93	5
APPENDIX C : Work Skills Questionnaire 95	5
APPENDIX D : Statistical Computations for Work Entry (La Salle Graduate Interviewer) 97	7
APPENDIX E: Statistical Computations for Work Entry (Non-La Salle Graduate Interviewer) 98	3
APPENDIX F :.Statistical Computations for Work Skills (La Salle Graduate immediate Superior) 99	7
APPENDIX G: Statistical Computations for Work Skills (Non-La Salle Graduate Immediate Superior)	00
APPENDIX H: Work Entry Questionnaire (prior to pretesting))1
APPENDIX I: Work Skills Questionnaire (Prior to pretesting)) ₂



DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY LIST OF TABLES

Table	Fac	ge
3.1	Summary of sample size	5
4.1	Mean Scores of La Salle and non-La Salle Graduate Applicants as rated by La Salle graduate interviewers 57	7
4.2	Mean Scores of La Salle and non-La Salle Graduate Applicants as rated by non-La Salle graduate interviewers 5°	₹
4.3	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on knwoledge of work as a skill	2
4.4	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on adaptability as a skill	4
4.5	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on interpersonal skills	E
4.6	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on neatness 78	5
4.7	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on time management	7
4.8	Mean scores of La Salle and non-La Salle graduate employees as rated by non-La Salle and La Salle graduate immediate superiors on creativity 78	3



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In our pursuit of excellence, a number of people took the time to heed our call for assistance. We with to convey our gratitude to those who helped us in their own little way.

Mr. Benito Teehankee, our mentor, our heartfelt gratitude for your guidance since our first term in this institution until the day we defended this thesis and hopefully, our entire stay in DLSU.

Mr. Fernando Pahati, thank you for all the prayers, support, encouragement, and concern for our study, backing us up, and for all the other things we can't express with just words.

Flor Gaspar of CPO, thank you for withstanding our neverending disturbances-giving life and meaning to our study, instilling in us that positive outlook to still go on despite the obstacles.

Eduardo Lara, Anna Oleta, James Joseph Francisco, Malou Villar, Nando Pahati, and Martin Gaerlan, your contribution in the development of our questionnaire is highly appreciated.

Yolly Pascual Teehankee, thank you for always being there when we needed help, and when our mentor needed help!

Mr. and Mrs. Eduardo Frondoso, filling us up with your generosity, which kept our bodies going day and night and day ("you're still here??!!").

To our dear panelists, Maeyet Lapena & Nerissa Fernando, for sticking it out with us until the last minute, and had nothing in mind but help us in improving our study.

To our respondents, for their valuable contribution to our study.

To all our friends, whose moral support and boosting kept us going even in the darkest moments.

And most of all, we offer all our efforts, knowledge, and time in honor of our Lord, Jesus Christ, the Source of all these, THE ONE WE CANNOT DO WITHOUT.

- Edz, Anna, Mic



ABSTRACT .

In this study, the researchers intended to measure both La Salle and non-La Salle graduate applicants and employees through the performance rating of both La Salle and non-La Salle graduate interviewers and immediate superiors respectively. The results gathered using the questionnaires compiled by researchers. A pre-tested questionnaire given to a chosen sample of respondents based on the recommendations of the Career and Placement Office (CPO) of De University. The respondents of the gathering procedure proper were also by the CPO. Following recommended procedure, the researchers found out that both groups of interviewers and both groups of immediate superiors rated both La Salle and non-La Salle graduate applicants and employees equal in terms of their self-projection skills and work skills respectively.

